VANADIUMBANK

RESEARCH SERIES · FLAGSHIP WHITEPAPER

Vanadium Redox Flow Batteries

An Exergy Driven Global Strategy

Fifty one commercial and frontier use cases, jurisdictional economics, second law analysis, life cycle performance, unit economics, the electrolyte leasing case, and a fifty year market trajectory.

VanadiumBank is a strategic platform connecting vanadium resources, electrolyte processing, and long duration energy storage infrastructure.

Authored by

Wim Adriaan Bakker

CEO, VanadiumBank

Companion video

Over 50 VRFB Applications Explained

https://youtu.be/DTUSfkosWyU

April 2026 · Edition 1

Contents

Phase 1. Executive Summary

Energy systems are evolving from power optimisation to time and stability optimisation. Vanadium redox flow batteries are the cornerstone of that shift.

The global electricity system is undergoing the largest rebuild in its hundred year history. The dominant design assumption, that dispatchable fossil generation would always exist to backstop variable load, no longer holds. Renewable penetration above 40 percent on many grids has converted the core engineering problem from one of generating enough power to one of having the right power at the right time. The constraint is no longer raw energy. It is the ability to shift, hold, and release energy across hours, days, and seasons with acceptable round trip efficiency and acceptable capital cost.

Vanadium redox flow batteries, or VRFBs, are built for exactly that problem. They decouple power rating from energy rating architecturally, so marginal hours of storage are cheap. They cycle more than 20,000 times with negligible capacity fade. They are non flammable, recyclable at 99 percent electrolyte recovery, and the vanadium inventory retains roughly 95 percent commodity value after two decades of service. No other battery chemistry combines these properties.

The commercialisation proof points are now unambiguous. The 200 megawatt and 1 gigawatt hour plant commissioned at Jimsar in Xinjiang in July 2025, paired with a 1 gigawatt solar farm, is the largest flow battery on earth. Dalian remains operational at 200 megawatts and 800 megawatt hours. Rongke Power reports more than 3.5 gigawatt hours of cumulative installations. Invinity Energy Systems has broken ground on the 20.7 megawatt hour Copwood project in the United Kingdom. Dubai has tendered 300 megawatts and 2.4 gigawatt hours of eight hour storage explicitly favouring flow chemistries. The market has moved from pilot phase to industrial phase.

Independent analysts place the 2025 global VRFB market between USD 600 million and USD 2.2 billion depending on scope definition, growing at 20 to 30 percent compound annual growth. The 2035 market clusters around USD 10 to 25 billion. Under a plausible high deployment scenario driven by formal long duration storage procurement rules, pipeline transported electrolyte commercialisation, and the emergence of seasonal storage as a distinct category, the 2050 market reaches USD 150 to 400 billion and vanadium transitions in demand accounting from steel additive to energy infrastructure material.

Two commercial innovations anchor the category thesis and receive dedicated treatment in this paper. First, electrolyte leasing. Separating vanadium commodity ownership from storage infrastructure ownership transforms VRFB economics the same way aircraft leasing transformed commercial aviation. It achieves five things at once: it makes storage bankable for mainstream infrastructure capital; it cascades life cycle impact across multiple project lives so carbon per delivered kilowatt hour approaches a theoretical minimum; it produces a declining cost curve over multi decade horizons as the accumulated electrolyte pool grows; it exploits the physical property that vanadium does not degrade, enabling genuinely infinite reuse; and it makes curtailment recovery bankable at the scale the global renewable build out demands. Second, vanadium electrolyte as a fluid fuel for electric ships. Vessels carry electrolyte tanks and refuel at ports through a pump swap operation measured in minutes. Onboard, supercapacitors handle transient loads, graphene enhanced hybrid cells cover medium duration power, and flow storage delivers bulk voyage energy. Ports become electrolyte banks providing dual service to vessels and to local grids.

A third foundational issue receives equal attention: supply chain sustainability. The current vanadium supply chain is structurally unsuitable for the infrastructure scale the VRFB market is moving toward. Roughly 60 percent of today production is a byproduct of steel operations, a significant fraction is subsidised Chinese output, and conventional pyrometallurgical recovery generates material waste streams. Scaling VRFB deployment to the projected 100 to 300 gigawatt hours annually by 2035, and to terawatt hour scales through 2050, requires a new extraction paradigm. Hydrometallurgical processes such as VEPT, developed by Electrochem Technologies and held by VanadiumCorp Resource Inc, produce vanadium electrolyte directly from vanadiferous feedstocks using water based sulfuric acid digestion and electricity. The process exhibits a circularity with no precedent in critical mineral extraction: the sulfuric acid used to digest the feedstock becomes the principal ingredient in the final electrolyte product. The reagent is the product. And once produced, that product never degrades and is reused indefinitely.

This whitepaper is the VanadiumBank institutional reference. It covers 51 applications, the jurisdictions where VRFBs win today and will win next, the second law exergy case that separates flow batteries from every other chemistry, the life cycle analysis that makes flow the only credible long term storage option at scale, the unit economics that justify capital allocation, and the fifty year market trajectory that reframes vanadium as a strategic energy asset.

Companion video: *Over 50 VRFB Applications Explained — https://youtu.be/DTUSfkosWyU*

VRFBs are not a battery product. They are the storage layer of the post carbon grid.

Phase 2. Technology Positioning

2.1 Architecture: the storage medium is a fluid, not a solid

A VRFB stores electrical energy as chemical potential between two oxidation states of vanadium dissolved in an acidic aqueous electrolyte. Two tanks hold positive and negative electrolytes; a central electrochemical stack circulates both fluids through a proton exchange membrane. Charging drives vanadium ions to higher oxidation states on one side and lower oxidation states on the other. Discharging reverses the reaction, releasing electrons through the external circuit.

This architecture produces the single most important property of the VRFB: power rating and energy rating are decoupled. Power scales with stack count and surface area. Energy scales with electrolyte volume. The marginal cost of the tenth hour of storage is therefore far lower than in any solid state chemistry, because adding energy means adding tank volume rather than adding cells.

2.2 Core thesis: duration optimisation, not peak efficiency

VRFBs are not peak efficiency systems. They are duration optimised systems.

Lithium ion wins on instantaneous metrics: round trip efficiency, energy density, capital cost per kilowatt hour at four hour duration. VRFBs do not compete on those metrics and were never designed to. The VRFB competes on the metrics that matter for system operators planning a decarbonised grid over a twenty year asset life: cost per delivered kilowatt hour across decades of cycling, safety at scale, depth of discharge without economic damage, recyclability, and the ability to economically deliver eight, twelve, twenty four, or one hundred hours of storage on a single footprint.

2.3 Competitive comparison snapshot

The following table summarises mid range commercial parameters for the four chemistries most often considered for stationary long duration storage. Values represent typical modern deployments rather than laboratory records.

Parameter	VRFB	Lithium ion (LFP)	Sodium ion	Pumped hydro
Round trip efficiency (AC to AC)	70 to 80 percent	85 to 92 percent	80 to 88 percent	70 to 80 percent
Cycle life at deep discharge	20,000 plus	4,000 to 8,000	3,000 to 5,000	Effectively unlimited
Calendar life	20 to 25 years (stack); electrolyte indefinite	10 to 15 years	8 to 15 years	50 to 100 years
Cost trend with duration (beyond	Cheap to add hours	Expensive to add hours	Expensive to add hours	Site dependent, geographically

Parameter	VRFB	Lithium ion (LFP)	Sodium ion	Pumped hydro
4 hours)				constrained
Fire or thermal runaway risk	None (aqueous, non flammable)	Present, significant at scale	Lower than lithium but present	None
Recyclability of active material	99 percent electrolyte recovery	50 to 90 percent with significant processing	Similar to lithium	Not applicable
Siting flexibility	High; footprint scales with energy	High	High	Very low; requires specific geography

2.4 Where VRFBs are not yet the answer

- Mobile applications. Energy density of roughly a quarter of lithium rules out passenger vehicles and aviation.
- Sub one hour storage. Capital cost per kilowatt for short duration favours lithium; VRFB economics need hours of discharge to amortise.
- Space constrained urban sites below approximately 500 kilowatt hours. Footprint of tanks and balance of plant remains larger than equivalent lithium.
- Markets where vanadium commodity exposure is not hedgeable, though electrolyte leasing structures are rapidly solving this.

2.5 The structural advantages

- **Safety at scale.** Non flammable aqueous chemistry removes the thermal runaway cascade that has triggered insurance and permitting tightening in every major lithium market.
- **Calendar life without replacement.** Stack rebuild at year 20 or 25 replaces felts and membranes; electrolyte is reused indefinitely. A single VRFB outlives two to three lithium replacements.
- **Deep discharge without penalty.** State of charge can swing from 5 to 95 percent daily without cycle life damage. Lithium is practically limited to 10 to 90 percent.
- **Recyclable by design.** End of life stack materials are largely carbon, steel, and polymers; electrolyte is recovered and reused. Circular economy economics are materially stronger than any competing chemistry.
- **Scale agnostic physics.** The same chemistry and same operating principles apply from 10 kilowatt residential units to 1 gigawatt hour utility systems, with performance parameters approximately constant.

Phase 3. Application Landscape: 51 Use Cases

The 51 applications below span every major category of electricity system deployment plus a set of emerging and frontier categories that will be active commercial targets in the second half of this decade and beyond. Each entry carries five fields: a description of the use case, the typical duration profile it serves, why VRFBs win versus alternatives, the commercial revenue model in use today, and the exergy relevance that frames the second law case for flow storage in that specific context. The final entry, electrolyte fuelled marine vessels, receives expanded treatment because it is the category defining frontier application for VanadiumBank.

The categories are grid and utility scale, industrial and commercial, microgrids and off grid, energy transition infrastructure, urban and building systems, emerging or future systems, and the marine frontier. Before the catalogue, a short framing section addresses how VRFBs integrate with each of the three dominant renewable generation types, because the integration pattern differs materially across solar photovoltaic, wind, and concentrated solar power.

Renewable integration framing: solar photovoltaic, wind, and concentrated solar power

The duration profile and ramp behaviour of variable renewables dictates the storage architecture required to firm them. Three dominant renewable technologies each produce a distinct storage requirement, and VRFBs integrate with each in a different way.

Solar photovoltaic integration

Solar PV generation follows a predictable diurnal profile with high output during midday and zero output overnight. High penetration solar creates the classic duck curve: mid day oversupply driving prices toward zero or negative, and a steep evening ramp as solar collapses into peak demand hours. The storage requirement is four to ten hours of shifting from midday into evening peak, cycled daily for the life of the asset.

VRFBs match this duty perfectly. Daily deep cycling at full depth of discharge for 20 plus years is the core economic sweet spot. The Jimsar 200 megawatt and 1 gigawatt hour plant paired with a 1 gigawatt solar farm is the reference case. As solar penetration crosses 40 percent on a regional grid, the duck curve deepens and the required shifting duration extends past the four hour lithium sweet spot into the six to twelve hour window where VRFB economics dominate decisively.

Wind integration

Wind generation has a different profile: variable on minute to hour timescales with occasional multi day lulls. There is no reliable diurnal pattern. Offshore wind capacity factors run 40 to 55 percent, onshore 25 to 40 percent. The storage requirement is partly hourly smoothing and partly multi day firming across low wind periods.

VRFBs serve both timescales from a single asset. The cycle life is effectively unlimited for the purposes of the constant partial cycling that wind imposes. Multi day firming uses the same tank volume differently: deeper discharge across longer events. At 50 percent plus wind penetration, regional grids require 24 to 72 hours of firming capacity that only flow chemistries can economically deliver. The Inner Mongolia wind paired VRFB deployments and the United Kingdom Copwood project both target this dual use.

Concentrated solar power integration

Concentrated solar power, or CSP, converts sunlight to high temperature heat via mirror fields, then uses the heat to drive a steam turbine. CSP plants almost universally include molten salt thermal storage built in, typically providing four to fifteen hours of dispatch after sunset. On the surface this appears competitive with VRFBs. In practice, the two technologies are complementary, not substitutes.

CSP thermal storage is tied to the specific plant and only stores the heat collected by that plant on that day. It does not absorb cheap grid electricity during surplus hours, it cannot provide grid services outside the plants own dispatch window, and the round trip exergy efficiency from sunlight through heat through steam through turbine is bounded by Carnot at roughly 35 to 40 percent. VRFBs paired with CSP plants recover the exergy that the thermal round trip destroys, enable grid services during non production hours, and provide insurance against collector field failures or cloudy periods exceeding the thermal storage window.

CSP hybrid configurations with VRFB are emerging in Morocco (Noor complex), Chile (Cerro Dominador), China (Delingha), and the UAE (Mohammed bin Rashid Al Maktoum Solar Park). The VRFB handles grid flexibility services and captures surplus from adjacent PV co generation; the CSP plant delivers firm high capacity factor evening dispatch. Together they produce near baseload performance from a fully solar primary source.

Integration summary

Resource	Storage requirement	VRFB role
Solar PV	Daily diurnal shift, 4 to 10 hours	Primary shifting asset
Wind	Hourly smoothing plus multi day firming	Dual duty from single asset
CSP (with thermal storage)	Extra flexibility beyond plant thermal dispatch window	Complement to thermal storage, grid services provider
Solar plus wind hybrid	Complementary profiles reduce total storage need	Shared asset across both resources
Solar plus CSP hybrid	Near baseload solar capacity	Captures PV surplus, firms CSP shoulder periods

3.1 Grid and Utility Scale

The largest single addressable market for VRFBs. Grid scale applications typically range from 10 megawatts to 1 gigawatt hour and serve utility, transmission operator, or independent power producer customers.

01. Renewable energy firming for solar farms

VRFBs installed at utility scale solar plants absorb midday oversupply and release energy through the evening demand ramp. The configuration converts an intermittent resource into a quasi dispatchable one and captures the substantially higher capacity value that dispatchable solar commands in wholesale markets.

Duration profile	Six to ten hours aligned with evening peak
Why VRFB wins	Daily deep cycling without cycle life penalty. Lithium degrades measurably under the daily full cycle profile that solar firming requires for economic payback.
Revenue model	Power purchase agreement uplift, capacity market payments, renewable energy credit value preservation through evening delivery.
Exergy relevance	High grade electrical work is captured at the moment of generation and released as high grade electrical work at the moment of demand. No thermal conversion, no phase change, no exergy destruction outside stack polarisation losses.

02. Wind output smoothing and stabilisation

Wind generation fluctuates on minute to hour timescales and occasionally collapses for days. A co located VRFB absorbs output spikes, smooths ramp rates to meet grid code, and dispatches reserve during prolonged low wind periods. This eliminates ramp penalties and captures otherwise curtailed generation.

Duration profile	Four to twelve hours; continuous ramp smoothing
Why VRFB wins	High frequency cycling without degradation. Wind profiles produce tens of thousands of partial cycles per year, exactly the duty that destroys lithium.
Revenue model	Curtailment revenue recovery, grid code compliance services, ancillary service contracts.
Exergy relevance	Variable wind exergy is shifted to coincide with load demand exergy. Without flow storage, a meaningful fraction of wind generation is curtailed, which represents pure exergy destruction at the turbine.

03. Long duration energy shifting (4 to 12 plus hours)

The structural use case behind most utility procurement activity. Charge during low price or surplus renewable periods; discharge during high price or scarcity periods. As renewables penetrate beyond 40 percent, the required duration expands beyond the four hour lithium sweet spot.

Duration profile	Four to twenty four hours daily
Why VRFB wins	Capital cost per delivered kilowatt hour across a 20 year life is lower than lithium once duration exceeds eight hours. Pure economic advantage widens with duration.
Revenue model	Wholesale arbitrage spreads, capacity accreditation payments, time of use tariff optimisation.

Exergy relevance	Time shifted electrical exergy with minimal loss. The round trip exergy efficiency closely tracks round trip energy efficiency because both sides of the transaction are electrical work.

04. Peak shaving for utilities

Utilities dispatch storage during system peak hours to avoid starting expensive peaking generation. VRFBs deliver four to ten hours of peak shaving and recharge overnight or midday, cycling daily for decades.

Duration profile	Four to ten hours, peak hours only
Why VRFB wins	Daily deep cycling for 20 plus years is the economic base case. Lithium requires one or two replacements across the same horizon.
Revenue model	Avoided peaker dispatch cost, capacity payments, reduced fuel burn on legacy thermal plant.
Exergy relevance	Displaces low efficiency gas turbine peaking with stored electrical exergy delivered at high efficiency. Net exergy gain across the grid is typically 15 to 25 percent.

05. Capacity market participation

Formal capacity markets pay assets to be available during scarcity events. VRFBs qualify under four hour duration rules today and are increasingly preferred as accreditation rules extend to six, eight, and ten hour durations. The structural policy trajectory favours flow over lithium.

Duration profile	Four to ten hours per accreditation rule
Why VRFB wins	Long calendar life matches capacity market horizons of 15 to 20 years. Accreditation rules are moving in the direction of VRFB strengths.
Revenue model	Capacity market revenue, typically denominated per megawatt year.
Exergy relevance	Exergy held in reserve with near zero standing loss. Flow batteries with closed tanks exhibit self discharge below 2 percent per month, preserving stored exergy across long standby periods.

06. Frequency regulation services

Grid operators procure millisecond response capacity to balance generation against load second by second. Modern VRFB inverters respond within milliseconds and provide continuous regulation without any cycle life penalty.

Duration profile	Seconds to minutes, continuous availability
Why VRFB wins	Continuous fast cycling at partial state of charge destroys lithium over months. VRFBs are indifferent to the duty cycle.
Revenue model	Regulation up and regulation down payments, performance based rewards in organised markets such as PJM.
Exergy relevance	High grade electrical exergy delivered at millisecond precision. The exergy content of regulation service is the instantaneous work capacity at the frequency deviation, which VRFBs preserve fully.

07. Voltage support and reactive power

VRFB inverters supply or absorb reactive power to maintain bus voltage within regulatory bands. This is particularly valuable in weak grids with high renewable penetration or in transmission zones with long radial feeders.

Duration profile	Continuous availability
Why VRFB wins	Continuous operation without cycling penalty. Non flammable chemistry simplifies siting at substations.
Revenue model	Reactive power service payments, voltage compliance contracts with transmission operators.
Exergy relevance	Reactive power represents work capacity at the grid boundary. Supplying it locally avoids the real power losses of long distance transmission, recovering exergy otherwise dissipated in line resistance.

08. Transmission and distribution deferral

Rather than build new transmission lines or upgrade substations, utilities install VRFBs at constrained nodes to absorb oversupply and dispatch on demand. This defers or replaces multi billion dollar capital projects.

Duration profile	Six to twenty four hours
Why VRFB wins	Long calendar life matches the 20 to 40 year depreciation of transmission assets. Deep cycling economics match the daily congestion profile.
Revenue model	Regulated rate base inclusion, non wires alternative programme payments, avoided transmission capital.
Exergy relevance	Locally stored exergy removes the need to transport equivalent exergy across resistive lines. System wide exergy destruction drops in proportion to deferred line loss.

09. Black start capability for power plants

Black start assets restart a de energised grid from a cold state. VRFBs provide grid forming inverters and hours of guaranteed discharge, which gas turbines and lithium banks cannot reliably match on the same site.

Duration profile	Four to twenty four hours held in reserve
Why VRFB wins	Guaranteed multi hour discharge combined with zero standing loss. Both attributes are unique to flow chemistries among storage options.
Revenue model	Black start service contracts, long term procurement agreements from transmission operators.
Exergy relevance	Exergy held in reserve for rare high consequence events. The exergy quality of black start service is unique: a few megawatt hours delivered at the right moment re enables the full grid, an effective exergy multiplier of many orders of magnitude.

10. Grid resilience and outage backup

Utility scale storage positioned to supply critical feeders during outage events. Increasing weather extremes drive demand for multi day resilience rather than multi hour backup.

Duration profile	Twelve to one hundred hours
Why VRFB wins	The only chemistry that can economically deliver multi day backup at utility scale. Lithium cannot scale to days; generators require fuel logistics.
Revenue model	Resilience service payments, climate adaptation funding, insurance premium reduction.
Exergy relevance	Preserves exergy for delivery at moments of extreme grid stress. Weather driven outage exergy losses in modern economies reach billions of dollars per event; stored exergy value compounds dramatically under those conditions.

11. Curtailment reduction for renewables

As renewable penetration rises, generation exceeds demand and the excess is curtailed. VRFBs absorb otherwise curtailed energy and release it when demand recovers, recovering the curtailed revenue.

Duration profile	Four to sixteen hours
Why VRFB wins	Deep cycling during predictable curtailment windows; bankable revenue stream tied to renewable plant output.
Revenue model	Curtailment revenue recovery, renewable energy certificate preservation, power purchase agreement performance uplift.
Exergy relevance	Curtailed renewable generation is pure exergy destruction at the turbine or panel. Recovery via flow storage converts that destroyed exergy back to useful electrical work.

12. Seasonal storage in high renewable grids

Northern latitude solar peaks in summer and collapses in winter; wind peaks in transition seasons. Seasonal storage requires hundreds of hours of discharge and months of standing hold. VRFBs are the only battery chemistry with a plausible seasonal economic case.

Duration profile	One hundred to two thousand plus hours (seasonal)
Why VRFB wins	Electrolyte cost scales with volume, not with cycle count. Held electrolyte incurs negligible degradation. No other battery chemistry scales to this duration without prohibitive capital.
Revenue model	Seasonal capacity payments, strategic reserve contracts, carbon market settlement.
Exergy relevance	Seasonal exergy shifting. Summer solar exergy is preserved with minimal loss across months of storage and delivered as winter electrical work. The time value of this shift is structurally enormous in high latitude economies.

3.2 Industrial and Commercial

Large energy consumers facing demand charges, reliability requirements, or decarbonisation mandates. Typical deployments range from 500 kilowatt hours to 50 megawatt hours and are purchased directly by the end user or via third party developers.

13. Mining operations with remote power needs

Remote mines run continuously and historically rely on diesel. Solar and wind paired with VRFBs now displace 60 to 95 percent of diesel burn on deployed projects. Mine life of 20 to 40 years aligns precisely with VRFB calendar life.

Duration profile	Twelve to seventy two hours
Why VRFB wins	Matches mine economic horizon. Non flammable chemistry suits hydrocarbon proximate operations. Remote logistics reward minimal maintenance chemistry.
Revenue model	Diesel fuel displacement, emissions compliance, carbon credit generation, ESG premium on product offtake.
Exergy relevance	Diesel combustion destroys roughly 60 percent of fuel exergy as heat before any work is extracted. Replacing diesel with stored renewable exergy is the single largest industrial exergy gain available in remote operations.

14. Oil and gas field electrification

Upstream operations face methane reduction regulations, flaring restrictions, and emissions driven licence conditions. VRFBs store associated gas generation or renewable feed to power field operations at lower emissions intensity than direct diesel or gas turbine drives.

Duration profile	Eight to forty eight hours
Why VRFB wins	Explosion proof credentials in hydrocarbon proximate zones. Aqueous chemistry eliminates fire risk concerns that constrain lithium siting on upstream sites.
Revenue model	Emissions compliance, methane fee avoidance, reduced flaring penalties, operational resilience.
Exergy relevance	Captures exergy from stranded associated gas or site renewable generation and delivers it to electrical drives at high efficiency, replacing low exergy efficiency direct thermal prime movers.

15. Refineries and petrochemical plants

Refineries consume enormous electrical and steam loads with high reliability requirements. VRFBs provide controlled peak shaving, backup for critical pumps and compressors, and emissions intensity reduction via renewable integration.

Duration profile	Four to twenty four hours
Why VRFB wins	Twenty year calendar life matches refinery capital cycles. Safety case superior to lithium at hydrocarbon proximate sites.
Revenue model	Demand charge management, emissions intensity reduction, carbon compliance.
Exergy relevance	Refinery electrical loads represent some of the highest exergy processes in industrial systems. Preserving and delivering this exergy at peak efficiency generates compounding economic returns across product streams.

16. Steel and heavy manufacturing plants

Electric arc furnace steelmaking and heavy manufacturing face punishing demand charges and emissions scrutiny. Behind the meter VRFBs shave peak demand, firm renewable supply, and preserve uninterrupted production during grid events.

Duration profile	Four to twelve hours
Why VRFB wins	Daily deep cycling with no life penalty; multi decade life matches manufacturing plant horizons.
Revenue model	Demand charge savings, carbon compliance, uninterrupted production insurance.
Exergy relevance	Electric arc furnace exergy demand is concentrated in short peaks. Delivering that peak exergy from stored supply rather than grid stress events reduces both grid exergy destruction and plant energy cost.

17. Cement plants with high energy loads

Cement production is electrically intensive and difficult to decarbonise. VRFBs integrated with on site solar or wind provide firm low carbon power for grinding, kiln ID fans, and pre heater operations.

Duration profile	Four to sixteen hours
Why VRFB wins	Calendar life matches plant capital cycles. Dust and temperature tolerance of flow chemistries fits cement plant environments.
Revenue model	Carbon compliance, demand charge reduction, ESG premium on low carbon cement product.
Exergy relevance	Cement is one of the highest emissions industries. Replacing grid exergy with stored renewable exergy produces direct emissions reduction with measurable carbon accounting value.

18. Data centres requiring long duration backup

Hyperscale and enterprise data centres require uninterruptible power. Diesel plus short duration UPS has been the standard. VRFBs extend the backup window from minutes to hours or days while removing fire risk adjacent to servers, which is becoming a permitting constraint in dense urban markets.

Duration profile	Four to twenty four hours
Why VRFB wins	Non flammable chemistry sidesteps the lithium fire containment regulations now tightening across data centre markets. Long life matches facility depreciation.
Revenue model	Sustainable operations premium, avoided diesel fuel cost, grid services revenue during non outage periods.
Exergy relevance	Data centre exergy demand is continuous and increasingly tied to AI and compute load. Preserving the ability to deliver full capacity exergy through grid events is an asymmetric value proposition; a few megawatt hours of preserved exergy prevents catastrophic compute service disruption.

19. Ports and marine electrification hubs

Ports face mandates to provide shore power to moored vessels, eliminating auxiliary engine emissions in port. Shore power imposes large intermittent peaks that VRFBs buffer efficiently, allowing modest grid connections to serve enormous vessel loads.

Duration profile	Six to twelve hours
Why VRFB wins	Multi hour ship hotel loads match VRFB discharge durations; saltwater environment handled by aqueous chemistry.
Revenue model	Shore power service fees, emissions compliance, noise reduction regulation benefits.
Exergy relevance	Auxiliary marine engines destroy 70 percent of fuel exergy as heat. Replacing with stored grid exergy is a direct industrial scale exergy improvement at the shoreside.

20. Airports and aviation infrastructure

Airports are decarbonising ground operations: electric taxi tractors, ground support equipment, and terminal HVAC. VRFBs firm airport renewable generation and provide critical infrastructure backup for terminals, runways, and air traffic systems.

Duration profile	Eight to twenty four hours
Why VRFB wins	Calendar life matches airport capital cycles; non flammable chemistry suits dense passenger environments.
Revenue model	Ground operations electrification, resilience service payments, carbon compliance.
Exergy relevance	Airport operations consume high grade exergy for lighting, heating, and ground equipment. Shifting this exergy in time removes demand charges and firms renewable supply at the terminal boundary.

21. Industrial parks and energy clusters

Multi tenant industrial parks can install shared VRFB storage to aggregate demand charges, firm on site renewables, and provide backup for member facilities. The aggregated cycling profile matches flow storage economics well.

Duration profile	Four to sixteen hours
Why VRFB wins	Aggregation increases cycle intensity, where VRFB economics dominate. Non flammable chemistry simplifies safety case for multi tenant sites.
Revenue model	Shared infrastructure fees, demand charge aggregation, ESG certification of the park.
Exergy relevance	Aggregated industrial load exergy profile is smoothed through shared storage. Total exergy destruction across the park is reduced by coordinated scheduling enabled by the storage asset.

22. Cold storage facilities and logistics hubs

Refrigerated warehouses run steady high loads with significant peak demand charges. Behind the meter VRFBs shave peak demand, provide outage ride through for perishable inventory, and firm on site renewable supply.

Duration profile	Four to ten hours
Why VRFB wins	Daily cycling economics match cold chain operating profile. Non flammable chemistry suits food handling environments.
Revenue model	Demand charge savings, inventory loss prevention, refrigeration emissions compliance.
Exergy relevance	Cold storage exergy demand is inversely correlated with ambient temperature, peaking in summer afternoons exactly when solar generation peaks. VRFB storage aligns these exergy flows precisely.

3.3 Microgrids and Off Grid

Systems operating in islanded mode for hours, days, or permanently. Typical deployments range from 100 kilowatt hours to 100 megawatt hours, with 24 to 168 hour autonomy a common design target.

23. Remote communities in northern regions

Communities across the Canadian Territories, Alaska, and Nordic regions pay extreme prices for diesel flown or barged in seasonally. Solar and wind paired with VRFBs cut fuel consumption 70 to 95 percent and provide multi day autonomy.

Duration profile	Twenty four to one hundred sixty eight hours
Why VRFB wins	Non flammable chemistry essential in wood frame community buildings. Calendar life of 25 years matches community infrastructure budgets.
Revenue model	Diesel fuel displacement, federal and provincial clean energy programme grants, carbon credit generation.
Exergy relevance	Diesel combustion in remote settings wastes 60 to 70 percent of fuel exergy. The savings from replacing diesel with stored renewable exergy are among the highest available exergy gains anywhere in the energy system.

24. Island grid stabilisation

Small island nations and territories import diesel at punishing cost and face hurricane or typhoon risk. VRFBs deliver resilient long duration storage that survives flooding and cycles daily for decades.

Duration profile	Twelve to seventy two hours
Why VRFB wins	Flood and impact tolerance of flow chemistries outperforms lithium in tropical storm zones. Multi decade life matches infrastructure horizons.
Revenue model	Diesel replacement, storm resilience payments, tourism sector reliability premium.
Exergy relevance	Island grids operate at low exergy efficiency because diesel scaling is small. Replacement by stored renewable exergy produces large efficiency gains and eliminates fuel transport exergy losses.

25. Indigenous and rural electrification

Hundreds of Indigenous communities globally remain off grid or on unreliable diesel. Multi day VRFB systems combined with solar and wind deliver sovereign clean power without fuel logistics dependency.

Duration profile	Twenty four to one hundred sixty eight hours
Why VRFB wins	Non flammable, low maintenance, multi decade life. Cultural and environmental fit matches the stewardship framing of Indigenous energy programmes.
Revenue model	Government clean energy grants, diesel displacement revenue, community ownership equity structures.
Exergy relevance	Diesel fuel represents low quality exergy delivery at high cost. Direct replacement by stored renewable exergy generates both financial and sovereignty benefits beyond the exergy efficiency gain.

26. Military bases and forward operations

Defence installations prioritise energy security, silent operation, and low thermal signature. VRFBs are non flammable, thermally stable, physically robust against impact, and scalable to multi day autonomy. CellCube received USD 19 million from the United States Defense Innovation Unit in 2024 for this application.

Duration profile	Twenty four to three hundred sixty eight hours
Why VRFB wins	Survivability under impact and thermal extremes. Long endurance without resupply. Silent operation.
Revenue model	Defence procurement contracts, resilience funding, dual use civilian infrastructure contracts.
Exergy relevance	Military energy supply lines consume significant exergy in logistics alone. Stored electrical exergy at the forward base eliminates the logistics exergy chain and preserves operational exergy for the primary mission.

27. Disaster recovery and emergency power

Mobile or pre positioned VRFB containers deliver multi day power to disaster zones where grid infrastructure is damaged or destroyed. Deployable to flood, earthquake, or storm affected regions.

Duration profile	Forty eight to one hundred sixty eight hours
Why VRFB wins	Flood tolerant chemistry; no fire risk in damaged infrastructure environments; multi day autonomy without fuel resupply.
Revenue model	Emergency response contracts, insurance sector partnerships, government disaster preparedness procurement.
Exergy relevance	Disaster response requires maximum exergy delivery to critical services (hospitals, water treatment, communications). Stored exergy dispatched precisely to these loads produces outsized social and economic value.

28. Telecom tower backup systems

Off grid and weak grid cellular sites require reliable multi day backup. Tower base VRFBs deliver 8 to 72 hours of runtime with no diesel refuelling logistics, lowering operating cost substantially over a 15 year deployment.

Duration profile	Eight to seventy two hours
Why VRFB wins	Remote operation with minimal maintenance. Low calendar degradation profile suits sites visited infrequently.
Revenue model	Avoided diesel cost, tower uptime service levels, operator emissions compliance.
Exergy relevance	Telecom exergy demand is low power but continuous and mission critical. Preserving it through outage events preserves far larger downstream exergy flows in connected economic activity.

29. Off grid resorts and eco developments

Remote luxury resorts and eco tourism developments seek 100 percent renewable operation with total reliability. VRFBs provide multi day autonomy and silent operation without the diesel generator burden.

Duration profile	Twenty four to ninety six hours
Why VRFB wins	Silent operation, non flammable chemistry in wood structure environments, cosmetic siting flexibility.
Revenue model	Premium room rates, sustainability certification, carbon offset revenue.
Exergy relevance	Luxury and eco tourism operations sell an experience that includes environmental fidelity. Storage of renewable exergy directly underwrites the service proposition.

30. Agricultural operations and irrigation systems

Farming operations, irrigation pumping, and processing facilities often sit at the end of weak radial feeders or operate off grid. VRFBs firm on site solar, power irrigation pumps, and run cold chain equipment reliably.

Duration profile	Six to thirty six hours
Why VRFB wins	Daily cycling profile matches irrigation schedule. Rugged, low maintenance chemistry suits agricultural conditions.
Revenue model	Pump operating cost reduction, crop yield protection through reliability, specialty crop premium supply chain.
Exergy relevance	Agricultural exergy demand is highly seasonal (irrigation) and weather correlated. Matching this profile with stored solar exergy captures otherwise unused generation and avoids peak tariff consumption.

3.4 Energy Transition Infrastructure

Sector coupling applications where VRFBs serve as buffers between variable renewable generation and conversion processes that prefer steady input, or as core infrastructure for new electrified sectors.

31. EV fast charging hubs with grid buffering

High power DC fast chargers impose punishing peaks on local distribution. Co located VRFBs buffer these peaks so a 350 kilowatt charger can operate from a 100 kilowatt grid connection, with off peak recharge.

Duration profile	Two to six hours
Why VRFB wins	Daily deep cycling matches hub operating profile. Non flammable chemistry critical in public refuelling context. Scales easily as station capacity grows.
Revenue model	Grid connection upgrade avoidance, demand charge savings, charging revenue uplift from faster deployment.
Exergy relevance	EV charging exergy is high grade and concentrated in short sessions. Buffering via storage smooths the grid interface and eliminates grid scale exergy destruction through line stress or peak thermal generation dispatch.

32. Hydrogen electrolysis load balancing

Electrolysers operate most efficiently at steady output. A VRFB buffer between variable renewables and electrolyser stabilises input, extends electrolyser asset life, and raises overall hydrogen production yield materially.

Duration profile	Four to twenty four hours
Why VRFB wins	Complementary to hydrogen on duration: VRFB for hours, hydrogen for days and weeks. Two storage vectors in series.
Revenue model	Improved electrolyser capacity factor, extended stack life, green hydrogen price premium.
Exergy relevance	Hydrogen production destroys significant exergy in electrolyser overvoltage. Stabilising electrolyser input exergy with VRFB storage raises conversion efficiency and preserves more of the upstream renewable exergy in the hydrogen product.

33. Synthetic fuel production facilities

Methanol, ammonia, and synthetic hydrocarbon production from renewable electricity requires steady high power input. VRFBs buffer renewable variability and preserve reactor operating conditions.

Duration profile	Eight to forty eight hours
Why VRFB wins	Continuous operation preservation; long calendar life matches synthetic fuel plant depreciation horizons.
Revenue model	Fuel offtake contracts, carbon price arbitrage, certified low carbon fuel premium.
Exergy relevance	Synthetic fuel pathways inherently destroy exergy across multiple conversion steps. Preserving exergy quality at the electrical input stage is where the largest single gains are available.

34. Renewable powered desalination plants

Reverse osmosis desalination is energy intensive and prefers steady operation. In solar heavy coastal geographies, VRFB between solar and RO plant enables 24 hour operation on predominantly renewable power.

Duration profile	Eight to sixteen hours
Why VRFB wins	Matches the Gulf, Mediterranean, and Australian desalination geographies with cheap solar and scarce fresh water.

Revenue model	Fresh water offtake, avoided diesel or grid cost, climate adaptation funding.
Exergy relevance	Desalination exergy intensity is fixed by thermodynamics; the quality of input exergy determines overall system performance. Preserving solar electrical exergy through storage delivers maximum desalination output per input kilowatt hour.

35. Carbon capture and utilisation plants

Direct air capture and point source carbon capture plants require continuous low carbon electricity to produce net negative outcomes. VRFBs firm renewable input to the capture plant across diurnal cycles.

Duration profile	Eight to twenty four hours
Why VRFB wins	Continuous operation matches capture plant design. Low carbon footprint of the storage itself preserves the net carbon benefit.
Revenue model	Carbon removal credits, tax credit transfer revenue, compliance market participation.
Exergy relevance	Carbon capture consumes exergy to reverse combustion. The exergy quality of input power directly determines the capture tonnage per input kilowatt hour; preserving it is central to project economics.

36. Electrified rail systems and depots

Railway traction substations see large regenerative braking pulses and start up surges. VRFBs absorb regen energy and deliver it back to traction, eliminating wasted brake heat and reducing substation peak demand.

Duration profile	Two to four hours
Why VRFB wins	High pulse cycling without cycle life penalty. Long calendar life matches rail infrastructure horizons.
Revenue model	Energy recovery, substation peak demand reduction, grid service revenue during off peak.
Exergy relevance	Regenerative braking exergy is today largely destroyed as heat in resistor banks. Capturing it in storage and redelivering to traction is a direct exergy recovery of significant magnitude on heavy rail networks.

37. Smart city district energy systems

Urban districts integrate heating, cooling, mobility, and electricity through shared infrastructure. VRFBs anchor the electricity layer with long duration storage that also provides heating through discharge waste heat in cold climates.

Duration profile	Eight to twenty four hours
Why VRFB wins	Multi vector integration; waste heat recovery from discharge in cold climate districts.
Revenue model	District energy fees, heat as a service contracts, carbon compliance.
Exergy relevance	District energy systems are designed for exergy cascading: using each grade of energy in the right service. VRFBs fit this framework because stored exergy is released at grade as electrical work with recoverable heat as a secondary benefit.

3.5 Urban and Building Systems

Deployments within commercial, institutional, and multi building environments where safety, space integration, and long calendar life are critical. Typical size range is 100 kilowatt hours to 5 megawatt hours.

38. Large commercial building load management

Commercial buildings in tariff zones with high demand charges and time of use pricing benefit from behind the meter storage that caps peak demand and arbitrages time of use spreads.

Duration profile	Four to eight hours
Why VRFB wins	Long calendar life amortises capital over more billing cycles than lithium can match. Fire safety case superior in occupied building environments.
Revenue model	Demand charge reduction, time of use arbitrage, tenant ESG certification premium.
Exergy relevance	Commercial building exergy demand is predictable and deeply correlated with outside temperature. Matching storage discharge to peak cooling load preserves comfort exergy quality at lowest grid impact.

39. Campus scale energy systems for universities and hospitals

Universities and hospitals operate as mini cities with complex, simultaneous electricity, heating, cooling, and critical life safety loads. VRFBs anchor campus microgrid systems with 20 to 25 year life matching capital planning cycles.

Duration profile	Eight to forty eight hours
Why VRFB wins	Life safety case at hospitals requires non flammable chemistry. Multi decade life matches institutional capital horizons.
Revenue model	Avoided utility costs, research partnerships, carbon compliance, life safety reliability premium.
Exergy relevance	Campus exergy systems are inherently multi grade. VRFB storage fits in as the electrical layer while waste heat from stack operation feeds into hot water loops, preserving more total exergy than the pure electrical round trip metric suggests.

40. District heating and cooling integration

District heat and cool networks increasingly integrate electric heat pumps and chillers. VRFB storage firms variable renewable supply to these electrified thermal loads and captures stack waste heat for the network.

Duration profile	Six to sixteen hours
Why VRFB wins	Waste heat recovery opportunity; long life matches district network horizons.
Revenue model	Heat and cooling service fees, renewable integration payments, carbon compliance.
Exergy relevance	Heat pumps upgrade exergy from ambient to useful temperature. VRFB storage firms the electrical exergy input and its waste heat becomes a direct low grade exergy contribution to the network.

41. Backup systems for critical infrastructure

Water treatment, wastewater, emergency response, and communications facilities require ultra reliable multi hour to multi day backup. VRFBs replace diesel and short duration UPS with silent, non flammable, multi decade infrastructure.

Duration profile	Twelve to one hundred sixty eight hours
Why VRFB wins	Life safety case and reliability both favour non flammable, low degradation chemistry. Calendar life aligns with infrastructure depreciation.
Revenue model	Resilience service payments, insurance premium reductions, compliance with critical infrastructure standards.
Exergy relevance	Critical infrastructure exergy demand is inelastic: it must be met. Preserving the capability to deliver full exergy under grid stress prevents cascading societal exergy losses (sanitation, communication, coordination) of enormous magnitude.

42. Net zero building clusters

New build commercial and residential cluster developments increasingly target operational net zero through on site solar, heat pumps, and storage. VRFBs provide the multi hour storage required to genuinely decouple from the grid across cloudy multi day periods.

Duration profile	Twelve to seventy two hours
Why VRFB wins	Multi decade life matches building depreciation; non flammable chemistry enables cluster integration with occupied structures.
Revenue model	Net zero certification premium, tenant attraction, grid services revenue during surplus periods.
Exergy relevance	Net zero buildings require exergy balance at the annual and often weekly scale. Only long duration storage reconciles the exergy inflow of solar with the exergy demand of occupancy.

3.6 Emerging and Future Systems

Applications where commercial deployment is just beginning or where structural technology shifts position VRFBs as the reference chemistry for the next decade of infrastructure build out.

43. AI and hyperscale compute energy buffering

AI training and inference loads produce extreme power ramps and sustained gigawatt scale demand. VRFBs buffer these loads at the campus boundary, enabling smaller grid connections and renewable firming at hyperscale.

Duration profile	Four to twenty four hours
Why VRFB wins	The scale of AI compute load growth means fire risk of lithium becomes structurally unacceptable. Non flammable chemistry at gigawatt scale is a permitting necessity.
Revenue model	Cloud compute service uptime premium, avoided grid connection upgrade, renewable certificate premium.

Exergy relevance	AI compute exergy demand is the fastest growing new category in the global energy system. Preserving exergy quality delivered to compute loads directly preserves the economic output of inference and training cycles.

44. Space and extreme environment energy systems

Lunar bases, asteroid mining, and Mars adjacent mission architectures require storage that tolerates radiation, thermal extremes, and zero maintenance over years. VRFBs offer unique advantages in deep discharge tolerance and non flammability.

Duration profile	Hundreds to thousands of hours
Why VRFB wins	Aqueous chemistry tolerates cosmic radiation better than lithium. Deep discharge tolerance preserves mission capability across long dark periods.
Revenue model	Space agency procurement, private space infrastructure contracts.
Exergy relevance	Off earth exergy is scarce and expensive to transport. Efficient on site exergy storage is the enabling technology for sustained extraterrestrial operations.

45. Arctic and polar research stations

Permanent research stations in Antarctica and the High Arctic face seasonal darkness, extreme cold, and severe logistics constraints. Multi month VRFB systems paired with wind and summer solar reduce fuel airlift requirements.

Duration profile	Five hundred to five thousand hours (seasonal)
Why VRFB wins	Cold climate operation with additives; multi decade life; zero standing loss across months of darkness.
Revenue model	Research logistics cost savings, science mission enablement, national scientific presence maintenance.
Exergy relevance	Polar exergy delivery cost is extreme; every kilogram of fuel requires exergy expenditure many times its energy content to airlift in. Stored renewable exergy at the station collapses this logistics exergy chain.

46. Floating offshore energy platforms

Floating wind, ocean current, and hybrid offshore platforms require on platform storage to smooth variable production and enable flexible dispatch. VRFBs suit the saline environment and provide multi day autonomy between vessel visits.

Duration profile	Eight to forty eight hours
Why VRFB wins	Saline tolerant aqueous chemistry; fire safety at offshore installations critical. Multi decade life reduces offshore service visits.
Revenue model	Offshore energy offtake, floating storage service, ocean grid integration.
Exergy relevance	Offshore exergy capture is the fastest growing marginal energy source in many coastal nations. Platform storage captures otherwise lost exergy during low demand periods and enables dispatch that commands premium prices.

47. Integrated renewable industrial corridors

National and regional infrastructure programmes (Gulf to Europe hydrogen, Australia to Japan energy exports, North African to European power corridors) integrate VRFBs as buffer storage between production, conversion, and export systems.

Duration profile	Six to forty eight hours
Why VRFB wins	Multi vector integration at the corridor scale. Pipeline transported electrolyte creates a transport layer that parallels power line infrastructure.
Revenue model	Export offtake contracts, sovereign energy infrastructure investment, currency hedged long term power purchase agreements.
Exergy relevance	Corridor exergy transport today relies on high voltage direct current or hydrogen. Electrolyte transport offers a third vector with differently advantaged exergy economics across certain distance and duration regimes.

48. Grid forming storage for fully renewable grids

Grids approaching 100 percent renewable penetration lose the synchronous inertia and voltage reference traditionally provided by fossil generators. VRFBs with grid forming inverters provide inertia, voltage reference, and multi hour energy, replacing every function of thermal generation.

Duration profile	Eight to forty eight hours
Why VRFB wins	Only chemistry that combines grid forming capability, multi decade life, and genuinely long duration discharge at the scale fully renewable grids require.
Revenue model	System services payments, inertia market participation, capacity accreditation.
Exergy relevance	Grid stability is itself an exergy quality property: a well formed grid delivers high exergy electricity with low voltage and frequency excursion. Grid forming storage preserves and enforces this exergy quality at the grid boundary.

49. Long duration backup for digital infrastructure

Core digital infrastructure (undersea cables, internet exchanges, satellite ground stations, financial transaction systems) requires guaranteed continuous operation. VRFBs extend backup from minutes or hours to days without the fire or fuel logistics burdens of alternatives.

Duration profile	Twenty four to one hundred sixty eight hours
Why VRFB wins	Non flammable chemistry essential at dense digital infrastructure sites. Calendar life matches infrastructure depreciation.
Revenue model	Infrastructure resilience contracts, insurance premium reductions, sovereign digital resilience procurement.
Exergy relevance	Digital infrastructure exergy demand is the linchpin of modern economic exergy flow. Preserving it through grid events preserves orders of magnitude larger downstream exergy flows in the economy.

50. Hybrid storage systems paired with lithium for multi duration optimisation

Optimal storage portfolios combine fast response short duration (lithium) with long duration (VRFB) to cover the full dispatch stack. Hybrid designs split functions: lithium for the first 15 minutes of fast response, VRFB for the hours that follow. Global hybrid pilot deployments exceeded 100 megawatts by 2024.

Duration profile	Combined: zero to forty eight hours across assets
Why VRFB wins	Best of both chemistries; total system capital cost lower than either chemistry alone for full duration coverage.
Revenue model	Full ancillary stack participation, duration specific capacity accreditation, arbitrage plus reliability stack.
Exergy relevance	Hybrid configurations optimise exergy delivery across every timescale of grid operation. The right chemistry serves each time regime, maximising total exergy efficiency across the combined asset.

51. Electrolyte fuelled electric ships with supercapacitor and graphene hybrid propulsion

Marine vessels carry vanadium electrolyte tanks as primary propulsion energy storage. At port, discharged electrolyte is pumped off and charged electrolyte pumped on in a refuelling operation measured in minutes. Ports operate large VRFB electrolyte banks that regenerate discharged electrolyte using shore renewables and grid services. Onboard, a three layer architecture pairs supercapacitors for transient loads, graphene enhanced hybrid cells for medium duration power, and VRFB tanks for bulk voyage energy.

Duration profile	Four to five hundred plus hours per voyage, scaled with tank volume
Why VRFB wins	Liquid fuel refuelling logic is already native to maritime operations. Aqueous non flammable chemistry eliminates the hydrogen and ammonia safety case that has constrained green marine fuels. Round trip exergy efficiency of 70 to 80 percent versus 30 to 40 percent for hydrogen or ammonia. Supercapacitor and graphene hybrid layer handles the instantaneous power density that pure flow chemistry cannot deliver.
Revenue model	Per kilowatt hour delivered to propulsion, port electrolyte bank refuelling service fees, avoided IMO and EU ETS carbon compliance, green logistics premium on cargo offtake, dual use port grid services revenue.
Exergy relevance	Maritime heavy fuel oil combustion destroys roughly 60 percent of fuel exergy as waste heat before shaft work is extracted. Direct electrical exergy from charged electrolyte to propeller shaft via electric motor preserves the exergy chain at each step. Each storage technology is matched to its exergy optimal duty: supercapacitors for seconds scale exergy pulses, graphene hybrid cells for minutes, flow storage for hours.

Electrolyte as a marine fuel: architecture, economics, and commercialisation pathway

The marine application is the single most ambitious application in this catalogue and deserves extended treatment. It would convert vanadium electrolyte from a stationary grid asset into a globally circulating energy carrier moving through the existing port infrastructure that already handles liquid fuel logistics at scale.

Electric ships today carry lithium. Electric ships at transcontinental range will carry flowing vanadium. Ports become the electrolyte pump stations of a decarbonised ocean.

Three layer onboard architecture

No single storage chemistry delivers both the power density required for berthing manoeuvres and the energy density required for transoceanic voyage. A hybrid system matches each demand regime to its optimal physics:

- **Supercapacitors (seconds).** Handle millisecond to second scale peaks: berthing thrusters, crash stop manoeuvres, hotel load transients. Cycle life in the millions. Near zero energy density but extreme power density. Charged opportunistically from the flow battery during cruise.
- **Graphene enhanced hybrid cells (minutes).** Bridge the gap between supercapacitor transients and bulk flow storage. Graphene electrodes deliver power density 5 to 10 times conventional battery chemistries while preserving cycle life. Research in graphene VRFB electrodes is also progressing and could eventually raise VRFB energy density toward parity with lithium.
- **Vanadium electrolyte tanks (hours to days).** Bulk propulsion energy. Refuelled at ports via pump swap. Tank volume scales with voyage range; a coastal ferry might carry 20 megawatt hours, a regional freight vessel 200 megawatt hours, a transoceanic cargo vessel 5 gigawatt hours with future energy density gains.

Ports as the enabling infrastructure

The port is the keystone of the architecture. A large port VRFB bank (100 megawatt hour to 10 gigawatt hour class) cycles electrolyte through dedicated charging stacks during vessel turnaround windows, while providing grid services to the local network during idle periods. The dual use is critical: the port electrolyte bank earns revenue from both vessel refuelling and grid services, materially improving utilisation economics versus a single purpose asset.

Major ports (Rotterdam, Shanghai, Singapore, Los Angeles, Hamburg, Dubai) already handle multi billion tonne liquid fuel throughput. Extending this logistics capability to vanadium electrolyte is a known operational model rather than a novel infrastructure class. Electrolyte pipelines linking nearby ports form the marine equivalent of hydrogen corridor infrastructure, at a fraction of the capital cost because the pipelines are low pressure, non cryogenic, and non flammable.

Why this beats hydrogen and ammonia for shipping

Dimension	Electrolyte plus VRFB hybrid	Hydrogen	Ammonia
Round trip exergy efficiency (shore to shaft)	70 to 80 percent	30 to 45 percent	25 to 40 percent
Safety case onboard	Aqueous, non flammable, non toxic	Flammable, high pressure or cryogenic	Toxic, combustible

Dimension	Electrolyte plus VRFB hybrid	Hydrogen	Ammonia
Refuelling time	Minutes (pump swap)	Hours (cryogenic) or minutes (compressed)	Hours
Port infrastructure requirement	VRFB bank, pumps, tanks	Electrolyser, compressor, cryo store	Synthesis, toxic handling, regulation
Energy density (current)	Lower than liquid fuel	Very low volumetric (H2)	Higher than H2, lower than diesel
Energy density (graphene enhanced future)	Approaching lithium range	Fixed by physics	Fixed by chemistry
Fuel cost driver	Shore electricity price	Shore electricity plus electrolyser loss	Shore electricity plus synthesis loss

Commercialisation pathway: three horizons

- **Horizon 1 (2025 to 2032).** Short sea ferries, port tugs, inland waterway vessels. These operate today on lithium. VRFB swap extends range substantially and eliminates the fire risk currently driving port insurance rates. Early ports: Rotterdam, Hamburg, Singapore, Long Beach, Vancouver. Vessel scale: 1 to 20 megawatt hours per vessel.
- **Horizon 2 (2032 to 2045).** Regional freight corridors with established port infrastructure. Baltic, Mediterranean, US Great Lakes, Chinese coastal routes, Japanese and Korean coastal. Vessel scale: 50 to 500 megawatt hours per vessel. Graphene enhanced hybrid cells in regular deployment.
- **Horizon 3 (2045 to 2070).** Transoceanic cargo. Requires graphene enhanced VRFB electrode breakthrough or alternate energy density gain. Port networks along major shipping lanes (transpacific, transatlantic, Suez, Panama) operate gigawatt hour scale electrolyte banks. Electrolyte pipelines link port clusters. Maritime vanadium becomes a globally circulating asset.

Strategic implications

If the marine electrolyte architecture reaches even partial commercialisation, the implications for the vanadium market are enormous. Global bunker fuel consumption in 2024 exceeded 250 million tonnes, roughly 3 exajoules of primary energy. Electric equivalent at 50 percent efficiency is approximately 400 terawatt hours of annual delivered shaft energy. Even 10 percent penetration by electrolyte fuelled vessels by 2050 translates to 40 terawatt hours of annual throughput, requiring tens of thousands of tonnes of deployed vanadium in vessel and port inventories.

The asset class implication is that vanadium transitions from steel additive (current dominant demand) to grid infrastructure (rising demand through 2040) to maritime fuel carrier (emerging demand through 2060). VanadiumBank is positioned across this full trajectory.

Phase 4. Jurisdictional Advantage Map

Economic attractiveness of a VRFB deployment depends on four variables: the duration requirement embedded in the regulatory or capacity procurement rule, the ambient temperature profile of the site, the penetration of variable renewables driving the duration need, and the availability of vanadium or electrolyte supply within the jurisdiction. Markets that score high on all four are the current frontier of growth.

Asia Pacific leads adoption due to scale and renewable integration. The West is following with policy driven procurement rules that specifically reward long duration.

4.1 Today: strongest markets

China

The global VRFB leader by every metric. The 200 megawatt and 1 gigawatt hour Jimsar plant commissioned at Xinjiang in July 2025 is the largest flow battery on earth, paired with a 1 gigawatt solar farm spanning 1,870 hectares and built for roughly USD 520 million. Dalian remains operational at 200 megawatts and 800 megawatt hours. Rongke Power reported more than 3.5 gigawatt hours of cumulative installations by mid 2025 and controls an estimated 68 percent of Chinese vanadium production. The 14th Five Year Plan explicitly funded 5 gigawatts of flow battery installations by 2025. The share of Chinese vanadium demand consumed by VRFBs rose from approximately 4 percent in 2021 to roughly 16.5 percent in 2024, signalling that energy storage is rapidly catching traditional steel alloy consumption as the principal demand vector.

Australia

Australia combines extreme solar resource, high ambient temperatures, and an operator (AEMO) that has moved to price long duration capacity distinctly from short duration. The Queensland state government allocated roughly USD 15.4 million to regional flow battery development plus A dollar 3 million to vanadium electrolyte production. BHP, Fortescue, and Rio Tinto are trialling VRFBs at remote iron ore and lithium operations. Western Australian mining towns and island grids such as Rottnest are structural VRFB candidates.

Canada

Canada is structurally long in vanadium resources and short in deployed storage, creating a strategic mismatch. Invinity operates manufacturing in British Columbia. VanadiumCorp Resource Inc. on the TSX Venture Exchange holds the Lac Dore vanadium property in Quebec. Indigenous community microgrid programmes in Ontario and the Territories are natural fit markets. Alberta long duration storage procurement is a near term catalyst. Federal critical minerals strategy explicitly lists vanadium.

United States

The USA deployed more than 500 megawatt hours of VRFB storage in 2024, primarily for utility and renewable energy integration. The Inflation Reduction Act provides a 30 percent investment tax credit for storage and expanded the long duration category under the Section 45X advanced manufacturing credit. California SGIP and New York VDER both include long duration storage categories. Storion Energy, a February 2025 joint venture between Largo Clean Energy and Stryten Critical E Storage, combined Brazilian vanadium supply with US electrolyte production for domestic vertical integration. CellCube received USD 19 million from the US Defense Innovation Unit.

Germany

Germany drives European VRFB demand through Innovation Ausschreibungen (innovation auctions) which include dedicated long duration storage categories. The Energiewende target of 80 percent renewable electricity by 2030 requires multi hour and multi day storage at a scale lithium cannot economically cover. German grid operators are among the most aggressive procurers of flexibility services that VRFBs uniquely provide.

United Kingdom

Invinity Energy Systems, headquartered in London with manufacturing in Scotland and Canada, commenced construction of the 20.7 megawatt hour Copwood Vanadium Flow Battery Energy Hub in East Sussex in September 2025, one of the largest operational VRFB projects in Europe. UK long duration storage policy is driving eight hour plus procurement rules that favour flow over lithium. National Grid procurement for black start and reserve services advantages flow chemistry.

Japan

Japan has deployed VRFBs at utility scale since Sumitomo Electric installed the 15 megawatt and 60 megawatt hour Hokkaido project in 2015. Grid liberalisation and renewable penetration continue to drive procurement. Post Fukushima energy security planning favours domestic manufacturing (Sumitomo Electric), non flammable chemistry, and 20 plus year asset life; all align with Japanese utility procurement conservatism. Sumitomo unveiled its next generation VRFB at Energy Storage North America in February 2025, signalling export intent.

South Africa

Load shedding has made South Africa a desperate buyer of storage. Eskom has evaluated flow chemistries for peaking capacity after a successful 6 megawatt pilot. Bushveld Energy remains a strategic domestic vanadium source and is a credible electrolyte supplier. The structural need for resilience at every level of the economy, from household to heavy industry, is converting into procurement activity.

4.2 Next wave: 5 to 15 years

India

India is at an inflection point. The National Electricity Plan projects a need for 411 gigawatt hours of stationary battery storage by 2032. Delectrik Systems won an NTPC tender to deploy a 3 megawatt hour

VRFB at the NETRA microgrid in Greater Noida in H1 2025. IIT Delhi developed a VRFB system for 2 and 3 wheeler EV charging. Long duration tenders are expected to scale rapidly through the late 2020s, and domestic vanadium supply from Indian steel mill slag is a credible long term input.

Middle East

Gulf states have become a dominant long duration storage market in a very short time. Dubai tendered 300 megawatts and 2.4 gigawatt hours of eight hour storage in 2025 explicitly favouring flow chemistries for ambient temperatures above 45 degrees Celsius. Saudi NEOM specifications call for at least 1 gigawatt of VRFB capacity to balance solar dominated generation. Vision 2030 targets 50 percent renewable electricity, structurally requiring 6 to 8 hours of evening storage where flow undercuts lithium by 15 to 20 percent on levelised cost. Oman and Bahrain are evaluating similar procurements.

Southeast Asia

Indonesia, the Philippines, Vietnam, and Thailand combine high solar resource, many islanded grid networks, monsoon weather risks, and rapidly growing industrial demand. Flow storage suits the long duration, tropical resilience, and multi island configurations. Infrastructure programmes funded through ADB and local development banks are starting to include VRFB components.

Latin America

Chile is converting Atacama solar oversupply into dispatchable power via long duration storage procurement, with local copper mining and data centre load supplying the demand side. Brazil commissions its first utility scale VRFBs under the 2024 auction framework favouring 20 plus year design life; Largo provides domestic vanadium. Argentina, Peru, and Mexico are next tier candidates.

4.3 Long term: 20 to 50 years

African electrification

Sub Saharan Africa has the largest fraction of the global population without reliable electricity. The electrification path is increasingly leapfrog distributed renewable plus storage, not centralised transmission. Multi day VRFB systems with solar and wind anchor mini grid and microgrid deployments across Kenya, Nigeria, Ethiopia, and the SADC region. This is a structurally enormous market that will scale over decades rather than years.

Arctic regions

Melting sea ice opens Arctic shipping lanes, resource extraction, and permanent habitation. Every new Arctic facility requires energy infrastructure that survives extreme cold, darkness, and remote logistics. VRFBs with seasonal storage sizing are the default answer for post 2040 Arctic build out.

Fully renewable national grids

By 2050 multiple economies will target 100 percent renewable electricity at the national grid level. No combination of lithium and conventional pumped hydro reaches that target at affordable cost. VRFBs, alongside hydrogen for seasonal storage, are the only economically credible pathway. The nations that pursue this target first (Denmark, Ireland, New Zealand, Iceland, Scotland, Norway) will define the commercial templates others follow.

Phase 5. Exergy Analysis: the Core VanadiumBank Edge

VRFBs maximise usable energy over time, not just instantaneous efficiency. Exergy is the right lens.

5.1 Exergy in simple terms

Energy is conserved. Exergy is not. The first law of thermodynamics states that total energy in a closed system is constant; energy cannot be created or destroyed. The second law introduces exergy: the portion of energy that can actually do useful work relative to the surrounding environment. Every real process destroys some exergy, converting high quality energy (electricity, chemical fuel, high temperature heat) into low quality energy (low grade heat dissipated to the environment).

Exergy analysis asks a more precise question than energy analysis: not how much energy remains, but how much useful work remains. For storage systems, this is the right question. Electricity in, electricity out is not the full picture if intermediate storage degrades the grade of the energy.

5.2 Why traditional energy analysis is incomplete for storage

A conventional round trip efficiency calculation states that 80 percent of input electricity emerges as output electricity. For a VRFB this approximation is accurate because both input and output are electrical work (pure exergy). The 20 percent loss is mostly electrical resistance and ion crossover, both of which destroy exergy directly.

For a hydrogen storage system, the same 80 percent electrical round trip claim is misleading. Input electricity is high grade exergy; conversion to hydrogen destroys exergy via electrolyser overvoltage; stored hydrogen has gravitational and compression exergy but needs to be converted back to electricity via fuel cell, which destroys further exergy. The practical round trip exergy efficiency of renewable electricity to hydrogen to electricity sits around 30 to 40 percent, not 80 percent.

For thermal storage (molten salt, concrete heat), the gap is even larger. Electrical exergy is pure work capacity. Thermal exergy depends on temperature relative to ambient. Storing electricity as heat and converting back destroys exergy at every stage.

5.3 VRFB versus alternatives on exergy

Storage technology	Round trip energy efficiency	Round trip exergy efficiency	Primary exergy destruction pathway
VRFB	70 to 80 percent	70 to 80 percent	Stack polarisation, pumping parasitic, ion crossover
Lithium ion	85 to 92 percent	84 to 91 percent	Internal resistance, SEI layer, thermal management

Storage technology	Round trip energy efficiency	Round trip exergy efficiency	Primary exergy destruction pathway
Pumped hydro	70 to 80 percent	70 to 80 percent	Friction, turbine inefficiency, evaporation
Compressed air (adiabatic)	55 to 70 percent	50 to 65 percent	Compression heat loss, throttling
Hydrogen (electrolyser to fuel cell)	30 to 45 percent	30 to 45 percent	Electrolyser overvoltage, fuel cell polarisation
Molten salt thermal	Not applicable (heat in, heat out)	40 to 55 percent	Conversion to and from high temperature

5.4 The time dimension: exergy over duration

The most underappreciated aspect of the exergy argument for VRFBs is the time dimension. Exergy stored is not exergy preserved; standing losses, thermal management parasitic loads, and self discharge all destroy exergy during the hold period between charge and discharge. A battery that stores one megawatt hour perfectly but loses 5 percent per day has delivered less exergy at the end of a week than a battery with lower round trip efficiency but near zero standing loss.

VRFBs exhibit very low self discharge once electrolyte is in the storage tanks, typically below 2 percent per month. Lithium batteries exhibit continuous parasitic thermal management loads plus calendar aging under state of charge. Over multi hour durations, this gap is negligible; over weeks or months (the seasonal storage case), the VRFB delivers more exergy from the same nominal round trip rating.

Round trip efficiency measures a single cycle. Exergy preservation measures the asset as it actually operates. VRFBs dominate the second measure.

5.5 Exergy across the discharge duration

Across a typical dispatch, VRFB discharge voltage remains almost flat from 90 percent state of charge down to 10 percent, so delivered electrical exergy per kilowatt hour of stored capacity stays high across the full discharge cycle. Lithium voltage sags more pronouncedly below 20 percent state of charge, which means operators conservatively bound discharge at 10 to 90 percent. Effective exergy delivery per rated kilowatt hour is meaningfully higher in the VRFB.

5.6 Implications for capital allocation

- **Long duration procurement rules are exergy rules in disguise.** A rule that rewards eight hours of discharge rewards the asset that preserves exergy across that duration. VRFBs win.
- **Seasonal storage is an exergy proposition.** Hold duration of months eliminates every other chemistry; VRFB exergy preservation across months approaches a theoretical optimum.

- **Sector coupling is exergy engineering.** Pairing VRFB with electrolyser, desalination, or compute load preserves the exergy chain at each stage. The strongest sector coupling applications are dominated by flow storage.

Phase 6. Life Cycle Value

Higher upfront footprint. Dramatically lower lifetime impact. VRFBs are the best life cycle battery chemistry in stationary storage.

6.1 Material sourcing

The material intensity of a VRFB concentrates in three places: vanadium electrolyte, stack hardware (felts, membrane, bipolar plates), and balance of plant (tanks, pumps, pipework). Vanadium electrolyte is produced from vanadium pentoxide (V2O5) dissolved in sulphuric acid; the vanadium input can come from primary mining, steel slag byproduct, or secondary recycled sources. Roughly 60 percent of world vanadium production is currently a steel industry byproduct; mine economics depend on iron and titanium coproducts in most cases.

Embodied carbon per kilowatt hour for a VRFB sits in the range of 50 to 90 kilograms CO2 equivalent per kilowatt hour of installed storage, comparable to or better than lithium iron phosphate when the full supply chain is accounted. The vanadium footprint dominates; membrane and stack components are comparatively modest.

6.2 Vanadium reuse: the circular case

The critical life cycle advantage is that vanadium electrolyte is indefinitely reusable. After 20 years of service life on a host stack, the electrolyte is not degraded. It is rebalanced (restoring the correct vanadium ion distribution between tanks), filtered, and redeployed into a next generation stack. Roughly 95 to 99 percent of the vanadium is recovered. This contrasts starkly with lithium recycling, which is improving but still faces fundamental constraints: lithium cathode chemistry degrades, binders and electrolytes cannot be cleanly separated, and recovered lithium is lower purity than virgin material.

In commercial terms, the vanadium in a 100 megawatt hour VRFB deployed today retains roughly 95 percent of its commodity value two decades later. The system economics therefore treat electrolyte as a working asset with long term commodity exposure, which electrolyte leasing structures now hedge.

6.3 System lifespan

Component	Design life	End of life treatment
Electrolyte	Indefinite (rebalanced indefinitely)	Recovered and redeployed, greater than 95 percent yield
Stack (felts, membrane, bipolar plates)	15 to 25 years	Largely carbon and polymer; thermal or mechanical recycle
Tanks (polymer or steel)	25 to 40 years	Standard industrial recycling pathway
Pumps and piping	10 to 20 years, replaceable	Standard industrial component recycling

Component	Design life	End of life treatment
Power electronics	15 to 25 years	Standard electronics recycling, significant copper recovery

6.4 Comparative life cycle footprint (simplified)

Metric	VRFB	Lithium ion (LFP)
Calendar life	20 to 25 years (stack); indefinite (electrolyte)	10 to 15 years
Replacement over 30 year horizon	0 to 1 stack rebuild; electrolyte continues	2 to 3 full battery replacements
Embodied CO2 per delivered kilowatt hour over life	15 to 30 grams	40 to 80 grams
Critical minerals exposure	Vanadium only (reusable)	Lithium, cobalt, nickel (partial recovery)
End of life hazard	Low (acidic aqueous, fully recyclable)	Moderate (fire, toxic fumes, battery waste regulation)
Fire and thermal risk during life	None	Present at scale; insurance, permitting driver

6.5 The LCA value score

On a cradle to grave basis across a 30 year horizon, the VRFB delivers significantly lower cumulative embodied carbon per delivered kilowatt hour than lithium, primarily because the VRFB avoids replacement. The upfront footprint is higher per installed kilowatt hour, but the denominator in the cradle to grave calculation is total delivered energy across the asset life, not installed energy. On that denominator, VRFB wins decisively in every credible LCA published in the last five years.

- **Circular economy design.** Near complete electrolyte reuse and near complete commodity value retention make the VRFB the only stationary storage chemistry that is genuinely circular at commercial scale.
- **Diversifiable critical mineral position.** Unlike cobalt and lithium where supply is concentrated in a small number of geographies, vanadium resources are globally distributed (China, Russia, South Africa, Brazil, Canada, Australia, United States). Supply diversification requires investment in non byproduct extraction pathways, addressed in section 6.6 below.
- **Regulatory tailwind.** Battery passport, carbon border adjustment, and extended producer responsibility regulations all reward recyclability and circular design. VRFBs outperform incumbents under every upcoming regulatory regime.

6.6 Supply chain sustainability: from byproduct dependence to engineered circularity

The current vanadium supply chain is unsustainable. The future supply chain is an engineered circular loop where extraction acid becomes product electrolyte. This is the deepest sustainability point in the VRFB case.

The current state: byproduct dependence and subsidised production

The vanadium supply chain that serves today VRFB market was not designed for energy storage. It is an incidental consequence of steel production. Roughly 60 percent of global vanadium comes as a byproduct of iron ore processing, particularly titanomagnetite deposits and steel slag reprocessing. A further 15 to 20 percent comes from petroleum residues and power station fly ash. Primary vanadium mining contributes the remainder, operated principally by Largo Resources in Brazil, Bushveld Minerals in South Africa, and a handful of emerging operators in Canada, Australia, and the United States.

This structure has three serious problems for VRFB scaling:

- **Structural dependence on the steel cycle.** Vanadium supply is set by steel demand and slag reprocessing economics, not by energy storage demand. In a steel downturn, vanadium supply contracts regardless of VRFB market need. Steel decarbonisation through direct reduced iron and electric arc furnace processes further reduces the slag volume from which vanadium is recovered. The supply curve does not respond to storage market signals.
- **Chinese subsidised overhang.** Chinese vanadium production is heavily integrated with subsidised steel operations and benefits from provincial and national tax incentives. This produces an artificial supply curve that distorts global pricing and discourages rational investment in independent primary production outside China. Any market projection that relies on Chinese vanadium export continuing at current subsidised levels is exposed to sovereign policy risk.
- **Environmental footprint of conventional extraction.** Traditional vanadium recovery from slag uses soda ash roast and caustic leach processes that generate significant sulfate and sodium waste streams. Primary mining incurs the footprint of any metal mining operation: overburden, tailings, water use. Life cycle carbon intensity of vanadium produced through these pathways is materially higher than optimal for a material destined to anchor a low carbon energy infrastructure.

The practical consequence: the current vanadium supply chain can support the 2025 VRFB market of 2 to 5 gigawatt hours annually but cannot support the projected 100 to 300 gigawatt hours annually in the 2035 base case, let alone the terawatt hour scales required through 2050. A new extraction paradigm is required. The VRFB category scaling thesis depends on it.

The future: hydrometallurgical extraction designed for electrolyte production

The emerging solution is a class of hydrometallurgical extraction processes designed specifically to produce vanadium electrolyte as the direct end product, rather than producing vanadium pentoxide that then requires dissolution into acid. The most commercially advanced of these is VEPT, the Vanadium Electrolyte Processing Technology developed by Electrochem Technologies and held by VanadiumCorp

Resource Inc, with complementary IP held by related developers. The process uses water based sulfuric acid digestion combined with electricity driven purification and oxidation state control.

The process applies to two principal feedstock classes:

- **Vanadiferous titanomagnetite (VTM) ore and concentrate.** Primary ore containing vanadium, titanium, and iron in magnetite structure. Found in economic concentrations in Quebec (Lac Dore), Norway, Madagascar, New Zealand, and elsewhere.
- **Industrial waste streams.** Steel slag (approximately 100 million tonnes generated globally each year with measurable vanadium content), titanium dioxide production residue, petroleum refining residue, and fly ash from heavy oil combustion.

Relative to conventional processing, the hydrometallurgical pathway offers step change environmental and economic improvements: water based rather than pyrometallurgical (no furnace carbon), acid recycled within the process loop, no sodium waste stream, electricity input rather than fuel combustion, and output that is electrolyte rather than an intermediate oxide.

The elegant circularity: sulfuric acid as extraction medium and final product

> ***The same sulfuric acid that digests the vanadiferous feedstock becomes the final electrolyte. Extraction waste in conventional processing becomes extraction product in the VEPT model. The circle closes at the process level and again at the asset level.***

The physical insight that makes this elegant is straightforward but profound. Vanadium electrolyte is vanadium ions dissolved in sulfuric acid. That is the working fluid that sits in the tanks of every operating VRFB. If the extraction process uses sulfuric acid to digest a vanadiferous feedstock, the resulting solution is vanadium ions in sulfuric acid. With adjustment of concentration, oxidation state, and impurity removal, it is already electrolyte. The acid used for extraction does not become a waste stream; it becomes the product.

This stands in complete contrast to virtually every other metal extraction process, including lithium, cobalt, nickel, and rare earths. Every other critical mineral extraction pathway involves process chemicals that are consumed or contaminated and become waste streams. Vanadium extraction for electrolyte production is unique in that the primary extraction reagent is also the primary solvent of the final product. The process therefore has structurally lower waste generation than any other critical mineral extraction.

The second layer of circularity sits at the asset level. Once produced, the electrolyte never degrades. The vanadium cycles through stacks for decades, is rebalanced, is redeployed. Some of the sulfuric acid that entered the VEPT reactor to digest ore in 2026 will still be in service as electrolyte in 2076 and beyond. At the molecular level, this is genuine permanence: a reagent used once for extraction does a full working life as product, then another, then another, with no chemical end point.

The full circular flow

Stage	Conventional vanadium pathway	VEPT hydrometallurgical pathway
Feedstock	Mined ore or steel slag	Mined ore, VTM concentrate, steel slag, Ti waste, petro residue
Primary reagent	Soda ash, caustic	Sulfuric acid (water based)
Primary process	Pyrometallurgical roast and leach	Hydrometallurgical digestion and electrochemistry
Energy input	Fuel combustion (carbon intensive)	Electricity (decarbonisable)
Waste stream	Sodium sulfate, caustic residues, roast offgas	Minimal: filtered particulates, recycled acid
Intermediate product	Vanadium pentoxide (V2O5)	Direct electrolyte (V ions in H2SO4)
Step from intermediate to electrolyte	Dissolution in fresh sulfuric acid	None; already electrolyte
End of asset life recovery	Electrolyte rebalanced and reused	Electrolyte rebalanced and reused
Indefinite reuse	Yes	Yes (same final product)

Strategic implications of engineered supply chain

- **Geographic flexibility.** Any jurisdiction with access to VTM resources or vanadium bearing waste streams can host electrolyte production. Canada, Australia, the United States, Brazil, Norway, Madagascar, and most of the Gulf region are natural candidates. Domestic sovereign supply chains become feasible without new primary mining development.
- **Waste stream as feedstock.** Approximately 100 million tonnes of steel slag and 4 to 6 million tonnes of spent titanium dioxide production residue are generated globally each year. Both contain vanadium at economically recoverable concentrations. VEPT style processes convert these liabilities into feedstock, producing a double benefit: remediation plus supply.
- **Decarbonisable by design.** Because the process is electrically driven rather than thermally driven, its carbon intensity tracks the electricity grid it connects to. A VEPT plant co located with a VRFB or paired with a renewable energy source approaches zero carbon vanadium production.
- **Independent of Chinese subsidised supply.** Projects built around VEPT feedstock sources outside China operate on stand alone economics. This addresses the single largest sovereign risk in the current VRFB supply chain.
- **Direct electrolyte output.** Producing electrolyte directly bypasses the V2O5 trading market and its price volatility, dissolution costs, and logistics. The economic margin captured by the V2O5 to electrolyte conversion step accrues to the electrolyte producer instead of the V2O5 trader.

The VanadiumBank position on supply chain

VanadiumBank is structured to operate across the engineered circular supply chain, not the incumbent byproduct supply chain. The commercial architecture combines three elements: offtake or ownership of VEPT style extraction assets that produce electrolyte directly from VTM and industrial waste feedstocks, an electrolyte bank that holds the produced electrolyte as a permanent strategic inventory available for lease to storage deployments, and deployment partnerships that operationalise storage projects funded by the separation of bank owned electrolyte from project owned infrastructure. The three elements reinforce each other. The bank is only as sustainable as its extraction sources. The extraction is only as bankable as the downstream deployment demand. The deployment is only as scalable as the bank is reliable.

This is the strategic answer to the scaling problem that no incumbent vanadium supply model can solve. The current market relies on unsustainably recovered vanadium and subsidised Chinese production, and that is an unsuitable foundation for a multi trillion dollar infrastructure asset class. The future market relies on electrochemical extraction through processes like VEPT, where the digestion acid itself becomes the infinitely reusable product, and where every tonne of feedstock converted is added permanently to a circulating inventory serving storage infrastructure for centuries.

Phase 7. Economic Model

VRFBs look expensive on nameplate. They are cheap on delivered energy across a 25 year life.

7.1 CAPEX structure

Capital cost for a utility scale VRFB system in 2025 breaks down approximately as follows (values for a 20 megawatt and 100 megawatt hour installation):

Cost component	Share of total CAPEX	Commentary
Vanadium electrolyte	35 to 45 percent	Dominant driver at long durations; scales with energy
Stack (cells, felts, membrane, bipolar plates)	20 to 25 percent	Scales with power rating
Tanks, piping, pumps (balance of plant)	10 to 15 percent	Relatively fixed; commodity engineering
Power electronics (inverters, controls)	10 to 15 percent	Scales with power rating; commodity item
Installation, civil works, interconnection	10 to 15 percent	Site specific

The key observation: electrolyte scales with energy, stack scales with power. At four hour duration, stack and electrolyte are roughly comparable shares of cost. At twelve hour duration, electrolyte dominates. Long duration economics are therefore electrolyte economics, which is why electrolyte leasing is the bankability mechanism most operators now pursue.

7.2 Cost per cycle

A VRFB rated for 20,000 full depth cycles amortises capital across an order of magnitude more cycles than lithium. At daily full cycling, 20,000 cycles is roughly 55 years. In practice, most utility dispatch profiles deliver 1.5 to 2 equivalent full cycles per day, so the 20,000 cycle budget covers 27 to 36 years.

A rough calculation: a 100 megawatt hour system at USD 400 per kilowatt hour installed cost, with 20,000 full depth cycles, produces a cost per cycle of USD 0.02 per kilowatt hour delivered on the storage itself, before accounting for input energy cost. This is the single most compelling unit economics number in stationary storage.

7.3 Cost per delivered kilowatt hour over life (levelised cost of storage)

Levelised cost of storage (LCOS) is the metric utilities actually use to compare technologies. It combines upfront capital, operating cost, replacement cost across the analysis horizon, efficiency losses, and financing. Published LCOS numbers for VRFB sit around USD 0.05 to 0.10 per kilowatt hour delivered at six to twelve hour duration, lower than lithium across the same duration by 10 to 30 percent.

The LCOS case for VRFBs strengthens as duration extends. At four hours, LCOS is similar to lithium or slightly higher. At eight hours, VRFB is clearly lower. At twelve hours and above, the gap widens materially. This duration dependent inflection is the reason long duration procurement rules drive the market.

7.4 Electrolyte leasing: the structural innovation that transforms the category

Electrolyte leasing is to VRFB what aircraft leasing was to commercial aviation. It separates the commodity from the infrastructure and unlocks capital that otherwise cannot participate.

The electrolyte leasing model is the single most important commercial innovation in the VRFB asset class. It simultaneously addresses five problems that have historically constrained flow battery deployment. Each benefit is substantial in isolation; in combination they transform the category economics and define the asset class.

7.4.1 Bankability: infrastructure risk, not commodity risk

Traditional VRFB project economics embedded a vanadium commodity position within an infrastructure deal. A 100 megawatt hour storage project owned roughly 2,500 tonnes of vanadium pentoxide equivalent and was implicitly long the vanadium spot price. Infrastructure investors do not want commodity exposure. Commodity traders do not want infrastructure operational risk. The mismatch restricted financing to specialist sponsors and capped the addressable capital base.

Electrolyte leasing separates these cleanly. An electrolyte bank owns the vanadium inventory and carries the commodity exposure. The storage project leases the electrolyte at a contracted annual fee, typically fixed for a first term and indexed thereafter. The project owns the stack, tanks, controls, and site. Electrolyte use is an operating expense, not a capital item. The storage project becomes a pure infrastructure asset: predictable OPEX, predictable depreciation, standard non recourse project debt.

This unlocks the pension funds, sovereign wealth vehicles, infrastructure funds, and green bond pools that dominate low cost capital for long life assets. Once the capital stack is ordinary infrastructure capital, financing cost falls by 200 to 400 basis points. Lower financing cost flows directly to lower levelised cost of storage. The LCOS curve shifts downward across every duration point, making VRFBs competitive at shorter durations than they were previously.

7.4.2 Life cycle cascade: one pool of vanadium, many project lives

Conventional life cycle assessment divides embodied impact by the lifetime kilowatt hours delivered through a specific battery. VRFBs score well on this basis because cycle life is enormous. The electrolyte leasing model adds a second order benefit that no other chemistry can match: the same electrolyte cascades through multiple project lives.

A tonne of vanadium electrolyte deployed in a 2025 storage project is rebalanced and redeployed to a 2045 project. Then to a 2065 project. Then 2085. Across a 100 year horizon the same vanadium supports four or five first life deployments. The embodied carbon of producing that vanadium is spread across the full cascade of delivered energy, not the single first project life.

The practical effect: on a 60 year horizon, VRFB families using leased electrolyte operate near or below 10 grams of CO2 per delivered kilowatt hour, an order of magnitude below lithium and approaching the footprint of pumped hydro. No other battery chemistry can approach this figure, because no other battery chemistry has a reusable active material pool. The embodied carbon of lithium is fixed at first deployment; it cannot be spread across successor projects.

Metric	Single project life view	Electrolyte cascade view (3 to 5 projects)
Embodied CO2 per delivered kWh	25 to 40 grams	6 to 12 grams
Vanadium utilisation	100 percent in one project	400 to 500 percent across projects
Effective commodity cost per delivered kWh	Full retail	Amortised across cascade
Circular economy compliance	Strong	Theoretical maximum

7.4.3 Declining cost curve: the electrolyte pool is a learning asset

Every storage project today amortises its electrolyte purchase over a single project life. As the leasing industry matures, the accumulated inventory of previously deployed electrolyte grows. New storage projects can tap existing electrolyte pools at marginal cost rather than funding fresh vanadium production.

The economic effect compounds across decades. In 2025, virtually all deployed electrolyte is freshly produced. By 2035, perhaps 15 to 25 percent of new deployment draws from second life electrolyte released from retired projects. By 2050, the balance tips decisively; fresh vanadium production serves growth only, while the existing installed base cycles through an expanding bank. By 2075, the majority of deployed electrolyte is nth life material cycling through the global electrolyte bank at a marginal lease rate tracking the bank operating cost, not the fresh vanadium price.

Cost per delivered kilowatt hour on a true lifetime accounting therefore declines over multi decade timeframes in a way no other battery chemistry can match. Lithium is not reusable across projects. Pumped hydro cannot be relocated. Only VRFB electrolyte offers genuine multi project reuse with full commodity value preservation and declining marginal cost over the cycle pool maturation horizon.

7.4.4 Infinite reuse: vanadium does not degrade

> ***Vanadium does not wear out. Every vanadium atom committed to energy storage today remains in service indefinitely. This is physics, not marketing.***

This is the deepest point in the leasing case and the hardest to internalise for anyone coming from solid state battery chemistry. In a lithium cell, the lithium itself does not degrade; what degrades is the cathode host structure, the solid electrolyte interphase layer, the binder, the separator. The lithium is trapped in a degraded matrix and must be chemically extracted at significant cost and energy input. Recovered lithium is typically lower purity than virgin material and trades at a discount.

In a VRFB, the vanadium itself is the active material and it sits in aqueous solution. It is not trapped in any matrix. When a stack reaches end of life, the electrolyte drains into a holding tank, gets rebalanced through a single conditioning cycle to restore equal populations of the four oxidation states, is filtered to remove any accumulated particulates, and is ready for redeployment. The vanadium atoms are unchanged. The energy input to recycle is small, measured in tenths of a percent of the cumulative energy the electrolyte has moved during its service life.

The commercial consequence is that the vanadium committed to a global VRFB fleet becomes a permanent, rotating, commonly held resource. It is not consumed by use. Every kilogram of vanadium deployed in a 2026 storage project remains available to the global pool in 2046, in 2066, in 2126. Infinite reuse is not marketing language. It is the physical behaviour of the element. The consequence is that VRFB electrolyte inventory is a permanent strategic asset rather than a flow of consumable material. The asset class analogy is therefore closer to a sovereign gold reserve than a commodity stockpile.

7.4.5 Strategic asset characteristics: electrolyte banks as energy infrastructure

Combining the above features produces a distinct asset class with properties that no existing financial category fully captures:

- **Long duration commodity exposure with contractual cash flow.** The bank holds vanadium (long the commodity) and earns lease income denominated in real terms. The structure resembles a timberland REIT more than a battery project.
- **Physically held inventory with indefinite life.** Vanadium does not deplete, does not oxidise, does not leak. A 10 year old tonne of electrolyte is indistinguishable from freshly produced electrolyte after rebalancing.
- **Inflation hedged.** Vanadium price rises with the energy cost base because vanadium production is energy intensive. The asset is naturally inflation linked.
- **Circular economy compliant.** Every upcoming battery passport, carbon border adjustment, extended producer responsibility, and supply chain due diligence regime rewards the leasing model relative to single project ownership.
- **Aligned with critical mineral sovereign policy.** Canada, United States, European Union, Australia, and United Kingdom critical mineral registers list vanadium. Strategic reserve programmes and electrolyte banks converge on identical asset configurations.
- **Scalable with deployment without refinancing.** As deployment grows, the bank adds projects to its portfolio. Each incremental project is financed on its own merits; the bank diversifies across geographies, offtakers, and vintages.

The institutional capital base for this asset class parallels strategic petroleum reserve economics but with permanent physical inventory rather than depleting stock. Sovereign wealth funds, infrastructure managers, and critical mineral strategic reserve programmes converge on the same asset type from different directions. VanadiumBank is structured specifically to operate as this category of institution.

7.5 Asset life advantage

Over a 30 year investment horizon, lithium requires two to three full replacements. Each replacement triggers new capital outlay, new permitting, new site work, and a fresh round of installation risk. VRFBs require one stack rebuild at or near year 20, a fraction of the cost of a full replacement, while the electrolyte continues. When the electrolyte is leased and rotates between projects, there is no replacement event for the electrolyte at all; it simply moves to the next deployment. The total cost of ownership at year 30 is substantially lower for VRFB with leased electrolyte than for any alternative.

7.6 Curtailment economics: the leasing unlock for the largest exergy loss in the energy system

Renewable curtailment exceeded 100 terawatt hours globally in 2024. Every curtailed kilowatt hour is capital deployed and exergy destroyed. Electrolyte leasing is the structural unlock that makes curtailment recovery bankable at scale.

Renewable energy curtailment is the single largest exergy destruction event in the modern energy system. China alone curtailed more than 60 terawatt hours of wind and solar in 2024. California and Texas combined curtailed approximately 10 terawatt hours. European markets curtailed another 15 to 20 terawatt hours. As renewable penetration climbs past 50 percent on leading grids, curtailment volumes rise more than linearly, and by 2035 curtailment exposure on a global basis approaches 500 to 1,000 terawatt hours annually under base case deployment scenarios.

Long duration storage addresses curtailment directly in theory: absorb surplus during curtailment windows, discharge during demand peaks. In practice, the project level economics have been challenging under conventional ownership structures. Curtailment windows are variable and low confidence; lenders discount the expected revenue heavily; the CAPEX recovery requires utilisation that a curtailment exposed project cannot guarantee.

Electrolyte leasing rewrites this calculation. The storage project pays a fixed annual electrolyte lease plus its stack depreciation. Electricity input during curtailment is effectively zero marginal cost, because the renewable plant would have curtailed it regardless. Output into peak hours captures full wholesale price plus capacity payment plus scarcity rent. The project economics work at utilisation levels that would not support full capital recovery under conventional ownership.

Curtailment recovery configurations

- **Renewable plant paired VRFB.** The operator of a wind or solar plant leases electrolyte and installs VRFB capacity sized to typical curtailment volume at the site. The curtailment becomes a revenue stream rather than a loss.

- **Third party storage with site sharing agreement.** An independent storage developer signs a site agreement with a curtailment exposed renewable plant. The electrolyte is leased to minimise own capital exposure. The developer collects the curtailment recovery spread and shares with the plant operator.
- **Regional pooled electrolyte bank.** A grid scale electrolyte bank serves multiple renewable plants across a region, cycling electrolyte between sites as curtailment patterns shift seasonally. This is where the leasing model achieves maximum utilisation and the lowest effective cost per delivered kilowatt hour.

Addressable market sizing

The arithmetic is direct. Global curtailment exposed capacity in 2035 reaches 500 to 1,000 terawatt hours annually under base case renewable penetration trajectories. If VRFB plus leasing architectures recover 10 percent of this at an effective arbitrage spread of USD 40 per megawatt hour, the annual revenue stream is USD 2 to 4 billion. At 25 percent recovery and USD 60 per megawatt hour spread, annual revenue approaches USD 15 billion. This is one application out of the 51 catalogued in this whitepaper and it alone represents a large fraction of the projected 2035 VRFB market.

The insight is that curtailment recovery is not a niche use case. It is a structural consequence of the generation mix every major economy is committed to building. The only question is which storage architectures capture the exergy before it is destroyed. Electrolyte leasing is the commercial mechanism that makes the VRFB share of that capture bankable.

Phase 8. Market Size and Trajectory

VRFB dominates long duration storage. Lithium dominates short duration. The question is not which wins; it is how the long duration category expands.

8.1 Current market

Independent analysts differ materially on current market size because of scope definition. Tight scope (VRFB only, utility scale) places the 2025 market near USD 600 to 740 million. Broader scope (VRFB including containerised commercial and residential) reaches USD 2.2 billion. The flow battery market including non vanadium chemistries (iron flow, zinc bromine) adds another USD 400 to 600 million.

Deployment volume in 2024 exceeded 2 gigawatt hours of VRFB capacity globally, with more than 300 installations. Asia Pacific received approximately USD 500 million of investment, Europe USD 400 million, North America USD 250 million, Middle East and Africa USD 50 million. Rongke Power alone delivered more than 3.5 gigawatt hours of cumulative capacity by mid 2025. Global investment in VRFB systems exceeded USD 1.2 billion in 2024.

8.2 Near term forecast: 2030 to 2035

Analyst consensus clusters around 20 to 30 percent compound annual growth through 2030. One upper bound outlook projects USD 333 billion by 2034 at a 74 percent CAGR; this is aggressive but not implausible if long duration procurement rules propagate as expected. A conservative view places the 2035 market at USD 10 to 15 billion; a base case at USD 15 to 25 billion; an aggressive case at USD 50 to 100 billion.

Scenario	2030 market size	2035 market size	Key assumptions
Conservative	USD 4 to 6 billion	USD 10 to 15 billion	Slow LDES policy; lithium cost declines outpace flow
Base case	USD 8 to 15 billion	USD 20 to 40 billion	LDES rules propagate in major markets; electrolyte leasing scales
Aggressive	USD 20 to 40 billion	USD 60 to 120 billion	LDES becomes default in all G20 markets; vanadium supply responds

8.3 Long term forecast: 2040 and 2050

Scenario	2040 market	2050 market	Key assumptions
Conservative	USD 25 to 50 billion	USD 75 to 150 billion	Long duration storage plateaus; hydrogen captures seasonal

Scenario	2040 market	2050 market	Key assumptions
Base case	USD 60 to 120 billion	USD 150 to 300 billion	LDES standard in decarbonisation planning; electrolyte banks mature
Aggressive	USD 150 to 300 billion	USD 400 to 800 billion	Pipeline transported electrolyte commercial; seasonal storage ramps

8.4 Fifty year trajectory: 2075 outlook

Fifty years is speculative but the structural variables are clear. Global electricity demand doubles to triples, driven by electrification of transport, heat, and industry, with AI compute a wildcard multiplier. Renewable penetration in leading markets reaches 95 to 100 percent. Storage requirement expands from todays two day to five day autonomy target toward seasonal and multi year strategic reserves in certain jurisdictions.

Under a plausible 2075 configuration, total stationary storage globally reaches 50 to 100 terawatt hours of cumulative deployment (versus roughly 1 terawatt hour in 2024). VRFBs, if they capture their natural share of the long duration segment, account for 15 to 40 percent of this total. At mid range values, the cumulative VRFB capital base sits in the USD 2 to 5 trillion range. Annual VRFB market flow (new build plus replacement) reaches USD 200 to 500 billion.

At this scale, vanadium is no longer a steel additive. It is an energy infrastructure commodity with demand patterns more similar to copper than to ferroalloys. The vanadium market structurally decouples from the stainless steel cycle.

8.5 The framing insight

VRFB dominates long duration storage. Lithium dominates short duration. The two are complements, not substitutes.

The long running debate between lithium and VRFB proponents is a false frame. The right frame is that different duration regimes have different optimal chemistries. Sub one hour duration belongs to lithium forever; instantaneous power and short cycles match lithium physics. Four to one hundred hours belongs to VRFBs; electrolyte scaling and cycle life match the duration economics. One hundred hours plus belongs to VRFB or hydrogen depending on the cost curve of each; long hold periods favour VRFB exergy preservation. Seasonal belongs to hydrogen or pipeline transported VRFB electrolyte.

Phase 9. Competitive Landscape

The competitive stack for stationary energy storage spans electrochemical batteries, mechanical storage, and thermal storage. Each chemistry has a structural niche defined by duration, geography, and use case. The question is never which chemistry is best; it is which chemistry is best for which application.

9.1 Head to head: VRFB versus lithium ion

Dimension	VRFB wins	Lithium wins
Duration	Six hours and above	Sub four hours
Cycle life	Very deep cycling (20,000+ cycles)	Moderate cycling with thermal management
Safety	Non flammable, aqueous	Energy density critical applications
Capital cost	Long duration per kilowatt hour	Short duration per kilowatt hour
Footprint	When energy is the constraint	When space is the constraint
Supply chain	Circular economy requirement	Rapid deployment at scale
Useful life	25 plus years	10 to 15 years
Geographic fit	High temperature, dense urban, long duration mandate	Mobile applications, dense urban short duration

9.2 Head to head: VRFB versus sodium ion

Sodium ion is the most likely lithium substitute for short duration in resource constrained markets. It is cheaper in raw material terms, uses abundant sodium, and matches or approaches lithium iron phosphate on cycle life and safety. Sodium ion does not fundamentally alter the long duration case: it remains a solid state chemistry with energy and power tied together and cycle life in the 3,000 to 5,000 range. VRFB wins above eight hours of duration by the same economic logic as against lithium.

9.3 Head to head: VRFB versus other flow chemistries

Iron flow (ESS Tech, Form Energy adjacent) offers lower upfront cost through lower commodity risk. Cycle life is shorter. Iron flow is well suited to multi day duration where capital cost is critical and cycle life per year is modest. Zinc bromine offers higher energy density and lower cost but has cycle life and degradation challenges. Polysulphide bromide and organic flow chemistries remain pre commercial.

Among flow chemistries, VRFB is the only one with multi gigawatt hour commercial track record, full electrolyte reuse, and bank quality bankability. Iron flow is the most credible alternative for multi day low cycle applications. The two coexist in the storage stack.

9.4 Head to head: VRFB versus pumped hydro

Pumped hydro remains the largest installed energy storage technology globally at roughly 160 gigawatts installed capacity. It wins on capital cost per kilowatt hour where geography cooperates: a suitable elevation difference, sufficient water, and permitting feasibility. It loses on siting: pumped hydro sites are increasingly exhausted in developed economies and face environmental permitting challenges. VRFBs can be sited anywhere with a truck accessible pad and grid connection. In new build capacity through 2050, VRFB addressable market exceeds pumped hydro in virtually every geography outside Alpine, Scandinavian, and Andean terrain.

9.5 Head to head: VRFB versus hydrogen

VRFB and hydrogen are complements. VRFB dominates hours to weeks. Hydrogen dominates weeks to seasons.

Hydrogen storage via electrolyser and fuel cell (or gas turbine) suffers round trip exergy efficiency of 30 to 45 percent, materially below VRFB. Its advantage is energy density and ultra long hold capability: hydrogen storage in salt caverns or high pressure tanks can hold for months or years with minimal standing loss. Hydrogen is the right answer for seasonal storage and for sectors requiring molecular fuel (heavy industry, long haul shipping, aviation). VRFB is the right answer for the hours to weeks regime that dominates modern grid operations.

9.6 Consolidated competitive map

Technology	Where it wins	Where it loses
VRFB	4 to 100 hours, deep cycling, long life, safety critical	Sub 4 hour, mobile, highest density
Lithium ion	Sub 4 hours, mobile, highest density	8 hours plus, safety critical, multi decade life
Sodium ion	2 to 8 hours low cost, material sensitive markets	Long duration, cycle life critical
Iron flow	Multi day low cycle	Sub 24 hour, high cycle
Pumped hydro	Sites with elevation difference and water	Siting constrained geographies
Hydrogen	Seasonal storage, industrial fuel, export	Short duration, hours to weeks storage

9.7 Accounting for lithium evolution

Lithium keeps improving. The VRFB advantage is architectural, not chemical. Improving lithium chemistry does not close the architectural gap.

Any honest competitive analysis must confront the fact that lithium chemistry is not static. Lithium iron phosphate (LFP) has largely displaced nickel manganese cobalt (NMC) for stationary applications on safety, cycle life, and cost grounds. Solid state electrolytes are progressing through pilot and early commercial demonstration. Silicon and lithium metal anodes are raising energy density. Cathode free architectures are in development. Each improvement extends the duration range over which lithium remains competitive and should be taken seriously.

The VRFB advantage nevertheless holds, because the advantage is architectural rather than chemical. Four structural differences persist regardless of which lithium chemistry is assumed:

- **Coupled power and energy.** In any solid state architecture, including every lithium generation proposed through 2040, energy storage and power output share the same cell. Doubling energy means doubling cells. Adding a tenth hour of storage means proportionally more capital. Flow architecture decouples these; the marginal cost of the tenth hour of storage is a tank volume expansion, not a cell count expansion.
- **Cycle life at deep discharge.** Every lithium chemistry, including LFP, solid state, and lithium metal, shows measurable degradation when cycled to full depth of discharge. Operating envelopes conservatively limit discharge to roughly 10 to 90 percent state of charge. VRFB cycles 5 to 95 percent without economic penalty. For a daily cycling asset over 25 years, this difference compounds into a 15 to 20 percent effective capacity premium for flow.
- **Thermal runaway risk.** Every lithium chemistry contains an organic or semi organic electrolyte plus a reactive active material. Safer chemistries (LFP, solid state) reduce the probability and severity of thermal events but do not eliminate them. Aqueous flow chemistry cannot thermally run away because it is water based. At gigawatt hour scale in urban or data centre environments, this becomes a permitting and insurance determinant, not just a technical preference.
- **End of life recoverability.** Lithium recycling is improving but remains energy intensive, yields lower purity secondary material, and produces a hazardous waste stream at every stage. Vanadium electrolyte is recovered at over 99 percent, is not a waste stream, and the recovered material is indistinguishable from freshly produced electrolyte.

The consequence is that each lithium chemistry improvement pushes the lithium versus VRFB crossover duration slightly further out, but never eliminates it. In 2020 the crossover sat near four hours. Today it sits closer to six hours. By 2030 it may sit at eight hours for top tier lithium cells against conservative VRFB designs. But the structural gap above that duration widens rather than narrows, because VRFB economics improve with duration while lithium economics worsen. There is no plausible chemistry path on which lithium captures the twelve, twenty four, or one hundred hour markets. Those belong to flow chemistries permanently.

Phase 10. VanadiumBank Strategic Position

Vanadium is not a metal. It is energy infrastructure.

10.1 The platform thesis

VanadiumBank is not a storage developer, not a mine, not a chemistry supplier. It is a platform that connects the four layers of the vanadium energy stack: engineered extraction, electrolyte banking, deployment, and lifecycle management. Each layer independently has structural demand growth. The integration across layers is where the defensible economic value lives.

The layers are:

- **Engineered extraction.** Direct electrolyte production from vanadiferous feedstocks (VTM, steel slag, titanium production waste, petroleum residue) using hydrometallurgical processes such as VEPT. Replaces dependence on unsustainably recovered vanadium and subsidised Chinese production. Sited in allied jurisdictions (Canada, Australia, United States, Brazil, Norway).
- **Electrolyte banking.** Permanent inventory of produced electrolyte held in leasable pools. Connects extraction output to project deployment through contractual lease structures. Functions as strategic mineral reserve and infrastructure capital instrument simultaneously.
- **Deployment.** Project development, system integration, operations. Partnership with OEM stack providers such as Rongke Power, Sumitomo Electric, Invinity, CellCube, VRB Energy. Strategic investment in critical VRFB supply chain companies.
- **Lifecycle management.** Electrolyte recovery, rebalancing, and redeployment into successive projects. Manages the indefinite reuse cycle that distinguishes VRFB from every other storage chemistry.

10.2 Core messages

Vanadium is not just a metal. It is energy infrastructure.

Storage is not a battery market. It is a grid architecture rewrite.

The right unit of analysis is exergy delivered over decades, not energy round trip over hours.

10.3 Strategic positioning

- **Platform, not company.** VanadiumBank does not attempt to be the best in any single layer. It is the connective tissue that allows capital, chemistry, and deployment to find each other efficiently.
- **Exergy as the analytical frame.** Traditional storage analysis uses round trip efficiency and nameplate capacity. VanadiumBank centres exergy preservation, time value of energy, and long

cycle economics. This reframing positions VRFBs correctly and surfaces opportunities invisible to conventional analysis.

- **Long cycle institutional capital.** The VRFB asset class matches the duration and risk profile preferred by infrastructure, pension, and sovereign wealth capital. VanadiumBank structures are built for this capital base.
- **Strategic commodity framing.** Vanadium is treated as a critical mineral by Canada, the United States, the European Union, and several allied jurisdictions. VanadiumBank operates within this sovereign framing and positions its assets accordingly.

10.4 Where VanadiumBank differs from peer entities

- **Not a miner.** VanadiumBank is layered on resource supply but does not carry mine operating exposure.
- **Not a chemistry vendor.** VanadiumBank partners with all credible stack OEMs and does not lock into a single chemistry supplier.
- **Not a pure financial vehicle.** VanadiumBank holds operational expertise in electrolyte specification, site commissioning, and performance warranty structures.

Final Conclusion

The future grid is not defined by energy generation. It is defined by how energy is stored, shifted, and utilised over time. VRFBs are a cornerstone of that system.

The decade ahead sees the grid transformed from a one way dispatch architecture to a bidirectional, time shifted, exergy optimised network. Generation capacity matters less; storage capacity, across every duration from seconds to seasons, matters most. No single chemistry covers the full duration stack; the optimal portfolio blends lithium for short duration and fast response, VRFB for hours to weeks, and hydrogen for seasons.

Within its duration segment, VRFB is already the economically superior option. The market data confirms it: China deploying 200 megawatt hour plus plants, Gulf states tendering 300 megawatts of eight hour capacity, United States issuing long duration tax credits, Europe building procurement rules that structurally favour flow. The technology has crossed the chasm from pilot to industrial.

The remaining commercial questions are about industrialisation pace, not technology viability. How fast does electrolyte production scale to match procurement demand. How fast do long duration procurement rules propagate from the leading jurisdictions to the rest of the G20. How fast do electrolyte leasing structures mature to unlock pure infrastructure capital. How fast does vanadium supply expand to meet the structural shift from steel to energy demand.

VanadiumBank is built to operate at each of these questions simultaneously. Resource visibility, processing standardisation, deployment partnerships, and the exergy analytical frame that surfaces the real value of flow storage across every time horizon. The platform does not compete with individual layer players; it connects them at the point where integrated value is greatest.

Vanadium is not just a metal. It is energy infrastructure.

Storage is not a product category. It is the substrate of the post carbon economy.

VanadiumBank is the platform through which that substrate is financed, processed, and deployed at scale.

Appendix A. One Page Investor Summary

THE OPPORTUNITY

The global long duration energy storage market is the fastest growing infrastructure asset class of the next three decades. Within it, vanadium redox flow batteries are the economically dominant chemistry from 6 hours of discharge through 100 hours. Market size expands from roughly USD 1 billion in 2024 to a plausible USD 150 to 400 billion by 2050.

THE THESIS

- Variable renewable penetration above 40 percent requires long duration storage that lithium cannot economically deliver.
- VRFBs are the only commercially proven chemistry for 8 to 100 hour duration at utility scale (Jimsar 1 gigawatt hour, Dalian 800 megawatt hours, Rongke 3.5 gigawatt hours cumulative).
- The chemistry is non flammable, recyclable at 99 percent electrolyte recovery, and retains roughly 95 percent of vanadium commodity value across 20 year asset life.
- Procurement rules in China, Gulf states, Australia, UK, and US are actively rewriting to favour long duration. Lithium does not reach these duration targets economically.

THE NUMBERS

Metric	Today	2035 base case	2050 base case
Global market size (USD)	1 to 2 billion	20 to 40 billion	150 to 300 billion
Cumulative deployed capacity	5 to 10 GWh	100 to 300 GWh	2 to 10 TWh
Vanadium share in VRFB (of total V demand)	5 to 15 percent	30 to 50 percent	60 to 80 percent
Dominant application profile	Utility firming, remote off grid	Hybrid with lithium, sector coupling	Seasonal, pipeline electrolyte, AI infra

THE VANADIUMBANK POSITION

Platform connecting resource supply, electrolyte processing, and deployment financing. Strategic positioning across the vanadium stack without single layer concentration risk. Exergy led analytical frame surfaces opportunities invisible to conventional storage analysis. Long cycle capital base matched to infrastructure grade asset class.

THE ASK

Strategic capital for platform build out. Offtake partnerships with primary vanadium producers. Project level equity for flagship deployments. Institutional partnership with infrastructure and critical mineral focused capital pools.

Appendix B. One Page Technical Summary

CHEMISTRY

Vanadium in four oxidation states (V2+, V3+, V4+, V5+) dissolved in sulphuric acid electrolyte. Positive half cell cycles V4+ / V5+; negative half cell cycles V2+ / V3+. Proton exchange membrane separates the two. Cell voltage 1.25 to 1.6 volts; stack voltages typically 48 to 600 volts; systems stacked to megawatt scale.

KEY PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS

Parameter	Typical commercial value
Round trip efficiency (AC to AC)	70 to 80 percent
Round trip exergy efficiency	70 to 80 percent (matches energy efficiency)
Cycle life at 100 percent depth of discharge	20,000 plus cycles
Calendar life (stack)	15 to 25 years
Calendar life (electrolyte)	Indefinite with rebalancing
Self discharge rate	Less than 2 percent per month
Operating temperature	5 to 45 degrees Celsius (extendable with additives)
Energy density (volumetric)	20 to 35 watt hours per litre
Energy density (gravimetric)	15 to 40 watt hours per kilogram
Response time	Less than 10 milliseconds (inverter limited)
Depth of discharge allowance	5 to 95 percent without penalty

CRITICAL FAILURE MODES AND MITIGATION

- Ion crossover through the membrane. Modern Nafion and hydrocarbon membranes contain this below commercially acceptable levels; periodic rebalancing restores full capacity.
- Electrolyte precipitation at extreme temperatures. Controlled by additives and thermal management. Operating envelopes are now wide and tolerant in commercial designs.
- Pump failure. Standard industrial pumps with redundancy; well understood failure modes; simple maintenance.
- Membrane degradation. Typical replacement interval 10 to 15 years. Stack rebuild in situ.

LEADING COMMERCIAL SYSTEMS

- Rongke Power: 3.5 gigawatt hours cumulative; Dalian 200 megawatt and 800 megawatt hour facility; Jimsar 200 megawatt and 1 gigawatt hour facility.
- Sumitomo Electric: Hokkaido 15 megawatt and 60 megawatt hour reference plant; next generation unit launched February 2025.
- Invinity Energy Systems: Endurium platform; Copwood 20.7 megawatt hour under construction; Scotland and Canada manufacturing.

- VRB Energy: 3 gigawatt hour facility announced 2024; focused on utility grid storage in North America and Asia.
- CellCube, H2 Inc., Storion Energy (Largo plus Stryten JV), Delectrik Systems, Electrochem Technologies.

Appendix C. Why Vanadium

Vanadium exists in four stable oxidation states. That single physical property is the reason flow batteries work.

THE UNIQUE CHEMISTRY

Vanadium is the only element that exists in four stable oxidation states in aqueous acidic solution: V2+, V3+, V4+, and V5+. This property is rare and specific. In a flow battery using two different elements (for example iron and chromium), ion crossover through the membrane gradually contaminates each half cell with the other elements ions, degrading capacity irrecoverably. Using vanadium on both sides means crossover is self balancing; a vanadium ion that migrates simply equilibrates and can be restored to the correct state by electrical cycling.

This is the physical reason VRFB electrolyte is indefinitely reusable. No other element supports this architecture at the required voltage and stability. Alternative flow chemistries (iron, zinc, polysulphide bromide, organic) all require more complex management precisely because they do not share this single element architecture.

THE SUPPLY CHAIN

Global vanadium production in 2024 was approximately 100,000 tonnes of vanadium pentoxide equivalent. Roughly 60 percent came as a byproduct of iron ore processing, particularly titanomagnetite deposits in China, Russia, South Africa, and Brazil. Roughly 20 percent came from steel industry slag reprocessing. Primary vanadium mining contributes the remainder, with operations in Brazil (Largo Resources), South Africa (Bushveld), and emerging projects in Canada (VanadiumCorp Lac Dore) and Australia.

Geographic diversification is materially better than lithium (concentrated in Chile, Australia, China processing) or cobalt (concentrated in DRC). This matters for critical mineral strategy: vanadium can be sourced from allied jurisdictions at scale without bottleneck risk concentrated in single geographies.

THE DEMAND SHIFT

Historically 85 percent of vanadium demand went to steel alloying (high strength low alloy steels, tool steels, aerospace titanium alloys). Energy storage consumed under 2 percent of production globally as recently as 2020. By 2024 the energy storage share had risen to roughly 5 to 7 percent globally and 16.5 percent specifically in China. By 2035 the energy storage share is projected to exceed 40 percent globally under base case deployment scenarios. By 2050 energy storage is the dominant demand category, potentially exceeding 60 percent of total vanadium consumption.

THE STRATEGIC ASSET CLASS

Canada, the United States, the European Union, Australia, and the United Kingdom all list vanadium on their critical minerals registers. This registration triggers policy support: accelerated permitting, strategic stockpile consideration, domestic processing incentives, trade preference arrangements with allied jurisdictions. Vanadium is therefore a critical mineral in the formal sense: strategically important, geographically concentrated in sensitive jurisdictions, and subject to policy intervention.

For the first time in the history of the metal, the commodity cycle is decoupling from stainless steel and recoupling to energy infrastructure. The implications are substantial: pricing dynamics shift toward infrastructure financing patterns rather than industrial cyclical patterns, new financial products emerge (electrolyte leasing, strategic reserves, sovereign stockpiles), and upstream investment accelerates to match structural rather than cyclical demand.

THE CONCLUSION

Vanadium is a metal with a single chemical property that happens to solve a multi trillion dollar grid problem. That is enough.

The next fifty years of vanadium are not about steel. They are about storage. VanadiumBank is built to operate along this structural transition.

References and Further Reading

- International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) and Vanitec electrolyte standardisation working group publications.
- Mordor Intelligence, Vanadium Redox Flow Battery Market Report, 2025 to 2031.
- Grand View Research, Flow Battery Market Report, 2025 to 2033.
- SkyQuest, Flow Battery Market Size and Trends, 2024 to 2033.
- Research Nester, Redox Flow Battery Market Size and Share Growth Trends to 2035.
- GM Insights, Redox Flow Battery Storage Market Size and Share 2025.
- Argus Media, Vanadium Market Analysis (for VRFB share within total vanadium demand).
- Skyllas Kazacos M, original VRFB patent filings, University of New South Wales, 1986 onward.
- Cardarelli F, Electrochem Technologies, Process V and VANADRITE technical documentation.
- Rongke Power, Sumitomo Electric, Invinity Energy Systems, CellCube, VRB Energy technical bulletins and press releases, 2023 to 2025.
- China National Energy Administration 14th Five Year Plan storage provisions.
- Inflation Reduction Act (United States) energy storage investment tax credit provisions.
- Dubai Electricity and Water Authority 300 megawatt and 2.4 gigawatt hour storage tender documentation, 2025.
- NEOM project energy storage specifications, Saudi Arabia.
- National Electricity Plan of India, stationary battery storage projections to 2032.

— End of Whitepaper —

VanadiumBank · Strategic platform for vanadium energy infrastructure

Authored by Wim Adriaan Bakker, CEO, VanadiumBank

Companion video: https://youtu.be/DTUSfkosWyU

An Exergist Innovations Inc. venture · Ganges, Salt Spring Island, British Columbia, Canada